

SBORNÍK NÁRODNÍHO MUZEA V PRAZE
ACTA MUSEI NATIONALIS PRAGAE
Volumen XXXIV B (1978), No. 1
REDAKTOR: JIŘÍ ČEJKA

FRANTIŠEK KOTLABA

Botanical Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences,
252 43 Průhonice near Prague

**REVISION OF POLYPORES (POLYPORALES SENSU LATO)
PUBLISHED BY J. VELENOVSKÝ (EXCLUDING NEW TAXA)**

Tato práce je druhou (závěrečnou) částí detailní revize Velenovského chorošů v širokém slova smyslu (první část se zabývala jen druhy, které VELENOVSKÝ popsal jako nové — viz KOTLABA 1977); zahrnuje všechny ostatní choroše, které měl VELENOVSKÝ ve svém herbariu a ve svých pracích, zejména ve 4.—5. části Českých hub (1922). Celkově bylo do této práce pojato 129 druhů, avšak materiál k 12 z nich nebyl nikde nalezen. Revize ukázala, že VELENOVSKÝ neměl v mnoha případech choroše správně určené a zachovalo-li se v herbářích více položek uložených pod jedním jménem, nezřídka představovaly i několik různých druhů (viz níže uvedený abecední seznam, kde jsou choroše seřazeny podle druhových jmen, jak je užíval VELENOVSKÝ). Vše v této revizi je uspořádáno jako v předešlé práci (KOTLABA 1977), avšak mnohem stručnější formou, neboť není zejména uváděn podrobný popis studovaného materiálu (ten je pouze v některých případech nahrazen uvedením nejdůležitějších diakritických znaků apod.).

Following the thorough revision of those polypores described by J. VELENOVSKÝ as new species (KOTLABA 1977), I am submitting a revision of the other polypores treated by J. VELENOVSKÝ in his studies, especially in České houby 4—5, 1922, including a few species preserved in his herbarium but never published. My revision of the polypores described by J. VELENOVSKÝ as new taxa showed that in many cases the author was not well acquainted with even quite common species. This may also be seen from the present revision of the other polypores. For instance, the common species *Bjerkandera fumosa* was described by J. VELENOVSKÝ as a new species under six names (*Polyporus aberrans*, *P. decurrens*, *P. eminens*, *P. fraxinicola*, *P. robiniae* and *P. tyttlianus*), while other collections of this fungus were identified as 10 other species (*Daedalea schulzeri*, *D. zonata*, *Irpea glaberrimus*, *Polyporus adustus*, *P. albus*, *P. fumosus*, *P. lacteus*, *P. micans*, *P. rutilans* — in herb., and *P. scanicus*). It is true that these are, in some cases, only misdeterminations, but in general VELENOVSKÝ supposed that they were good, independent species. On the other hand I often noted that under one name

there were two, three or even more different species in the herbaria: e.g. under *Polyporus vaillantii* there are two species (*Cristella mollusca* and *Tyromyces resupinatus*), under *Polyporus vaporarius* there are three different species (*Poria lindbladii*, *Skeletocutis amorpha*, and *Tyromyces resupinatus*), under *Polyporus salicinus* four species (*Phellinus conchatus*, *P. ignarius*, *P. pomaceus*, and *P. torulosus*) and under *Polyporus radula* seven different species (*Fibroporia vaillantii*, *Poria* sp., *Rigidoporus obducens*, *R. populinus*, *Schizophora paradoxa*, *Trametes versicolor* and *Tyromyces resupinatus*). The material labelled and published as *Polyporus contiguus* is actually identical with *Phellinus punctatus*, *Polyporus rubescens* with *Buglossoporus pulvinus*, *P. fuscidulus* with *P. ciliatus* and *P. varius*, and *Daedalea rugosa* with *Trametes unicolor*, etc. Why VELENOVSKÝ described so many polypores as new, and misinterpreted a great many species of older authors, is a question I have already tried to explain (KOTLABA 1977).

The revision of the polypores treated by VELENOVSKÝ was carried out gradually during 1963—1975, simultaneously with the taxonomic revision of the polypores described by him as new. VELENOVSKÝ'S collection of polypores is preserved both in the herbarium of the Department of Botany of the Faculty of Sciences, Charles University in Prague, PRC (major part) and in that of the Mycological Department of the National Museum in Prague, PRM (minor part). In most cases there was originally a single collection which was later divided into two parts (evidently by Dr. A. PILÁT), the second part being transferred to the Museum. In some cases there are exsiccates only in PRC and in other, exceptional, cases there is material only in PRM. For almost every species usually more than one exsiccate is preserved in the herbaria (although several of them do not belong to the same species — see above). The material was collected not only by J. VELENOVSKÝ himself but also by his pupils and above all by his mycological friends and collectors.

Unfortunately, not all the mycological material of VELENOVSKÝ is in a good state: some exsiccates are badly damaged by insects or moulds, making any revision difficult, and several others were deposited in the herbaria as fragments, etc. The exsiccates of 12 species have not been found in the herbaria; they might have been lost, and I have therefore tried to determine the species according to VELENOVSKÝ'S description, taking into consideration the opinion of other authors, chiefly A. PILÁT, who was the first to revise VELENOVSKÝ's herbarium.

The result of this revision of the polypores published by J. VELENOVSKÝ is arranged alphabetically according to epithet and published below. In addition to the literature citation, data, translated from Czech, about the locality and ecology of every polypore are given. This is followed by the data from the label of the exsiccate (the abbreviation "J. V." is used for J. VELENOVSKÝ), the result of the revision of the present author and, if need be, the opinion of other mycologists, chiefly A. PILÁT. The taxonomy and nomenclature is used according to various modern authors, but the names of the polypores from VELENOVSKÝ's works and the authors abbreviations are cited without any alteration. In almost all cases at least one synonym is added, usually the name used

by A. PILÁT in his monograph on polypores (PILÁT 1936—42). For the identification of various synonyms etc., the profound work on polypores of M. A. DONK (1974) has been frequently used. As the revision covers mostly quite common polypores, I have not described the studied material although in a few cases the main characters are noted. For the same reason, neither specification of localities nor the date of the revision nor the name(s) of the one who revised it are, in general noted. In a few cases some explanations are added in square brackets.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to the late Dr. A. PILÁT, DrSc., Corresponding Member of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences and Head of the Mycological Department of the National Museum in Prague, and to Docent Dr. Z. URBAN, DrSc., Head of the Department of Botany of the Faculty of Sciences, Charles University in Prague, for their kindness in enabling me to study Velenovský's material of polypores. My sincere thanks are also due to my friend Z. POUZAR for his effective and unselfish help in revising critical specimens, and to Mr. R. F. HASLAM (Chinnor, Oxford) for help in correcting the English text.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF POLYPORES PUBLISHED BY J. VELENOVSKÝ AND THEIR IDENTITY

abietina; *Lenzites abietina* FR. J. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 659, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On fir stumps and beams... abundant everywhere throughout the whole year..."). In PRC and PRM there are four collections under the above name; since all specimens of three collections have tobacco brown trama and typical encrusted cystidia, represent indeed *Gloeophyllum abietinum* (BULL, ex FR.) P. KARST.:

- 1) Hvězda, III. 1914, leg. F. FECHNER, det. J. V. (PRC — inside the cover there are, however, two slips of paper: one of them contains the same data as are given on the label; the other has "Klenová. 1913. VRBA." This means that two different collections were mixed up. PRM 494398).
- 2) Mnichovice, X. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC).
- 3) Prague, Botanical Garden, 14. X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 494404). The fourth collection, however, has rusty brown trama and no cystidia occur in the hymenium: Chudenice, leg. ČELAKOVSKÝ, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 494400). This actually is *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* (WULF, ex FR.) FR.

abietinus; *Polyporus abietinus* DICKS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 652, 1922: "On pine stumps, rare. Jílové (K), Klenová (VRBA), Chudenice (ČEL.), Mnichov., Dobříš (STARÝ), Strašice, Šumava [=Bohemian Forest] Mts.". There are altogether four collections in PRC and PRM: 1) Chudenice near Klatovy, 1884, leg. ČELAKOVSKÝ fil., det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703859). 2) Čelákovice, 5. XI. 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703860). 3) Jílové, IV. 1914, leg. K. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703861). 4) Mnichovice, 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703858). All these collections indeed represent *Hirschioporus abietinus* (PERS ex FR.) DONK = *Trametes abietina* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus abietinus* (PERS.) ex FR.

acanthoides; *Polyporus acanthoides* BULL. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 662, 1922: "On the base of trunks or on ground. Todně Mt. in Šumava Mts. (ŠIMEK, 1913). Obviously very rare species". BULLIARD'S original *P. acanthoides* is identical with *Meripilus giganteus* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Grifola gigantea* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. and in the sense of FIES, it is *Abortiporus biennis* (BULL. ex F.) SING. = *Heteroporus biennis* (BULL. ex FR.) LÁZARO (DONK 1974: 108—9). ŠIMEK's collection in PRC and PRM 487043 has hyaline, smooth, thin-walled, shortly ellipsoid, slightly amyloid spores, $3.9-4.8 \times 2.2-3.2 \mu\text{m}$, red orange trama, a lateral stipe (the material in PRM consists of only part of the pileus without stipe), pores ochraceous or ochre yellow, 3—4 per mm. This is a very old specimen of *Albatrellus confluens* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus confluens* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) QUÉL. as was already published by KOTLABA et POUZAR (1966), but neither *Grifola acanthoides* (FR.) PIL., nor *Phaeolus croceus* (PERS. ex FR.) PAT. as was believed by PILÁT (1936—42: 49—50 et 146—147).

adustus; *Polyporus adustus* WILLD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 648, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... common all over Bohemia"). There are four collections in PRC and PRM which are indeed identical with *Bjerkandera adusta* (WILLD. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus adustus* (WILLD. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus adustus* (WILLD.) ex FR.: 1) Vesselka near Čes. Budějovice, *Tilia* (codex), 1913, leg. ŠIMEK fil., det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Jevany, *Fagus*, XI. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485181). 3) Chuchle, X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 4) Radotín, *Salix*, XI. 1917, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). Under the name *Polyporus adustus* PRC also includes two further collections which, however, belong to different fungi: 1) Karlštejn, X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. This is no polypore, but *Chondrostereum purpureum* (PERS. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Stereum purpureum* (PERS. ex FR.) FR. 2) Třeboň, *Quercus*, 1920, leg. NEŠPOR, det. J. V. (PRC). This collection actually represents *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL.

albidus; *Polyporus albidus* TROG. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 655, 1922: "On spruce posts, fences, stumps near Mnichovice and Libochovice. 8, 1916". In PRC, there are two collections: 1) Mnichovice, *Picea*, 1914, leg. et det. J. V. 2) Olšany, *Fraxinus*, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V., both representing indeed *Tyromyces stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus stipticus* (PERS ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus albidus* (SCHAEFF.) ex TROG. in FR. PILÁT (1936—42: 208) identified erroneously the collection from Mnichovice as belonging to *Leptoporus floriformis* (QUÉL. in BRES.) QUÉL., but it is only a depauperate spathulate carpophore of *Tyromyces stipticus*.

albus; *Polyporus albus* HUDS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 649, 1922: "As a rule on poplars, always in clusters with up to 100 carpophores, also on sallows. Chuchle (every year in autumn), in the Valley of Radotín (11, 1917)". In PRC and PRM, there are collections from four localities, all belonging to *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL.: 1) Chuchle, *Salix caprea*, III. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485309). 2) Radotín, *Populus pyrami-*

dalis (codex), XI. 1917, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Slivenec, IX. 1919, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485318). 4) Černošice, *Salix*, X. 1921, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485320). PILÁT (1936—42: 162) gives it correctly in the synonymy of *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. The original *Boletus albus* HUDS. = *Polyporus albus* (HUDS.) ex FR. is a nomen dubium and ambiguum (see DONK 1974: 284); however, in FRIES' interpretation it is *Bjerkandera fumosa*.

alutaceus; *Polyporus alutaceus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 643, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On trunks of various trees from plains up to submountainous regions, but infrequently"). The material in PRC and PRM 186705 is in reality only one divided collection (Ondřejov, *Tilia*, 1908, leg. et det. J. V.), which represents an older specimen of *Laetiporus sulphureus* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Grifola sulphurea* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL., as was correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42: 41). FRIES' original *P. alutaceus* is according to DONK (1974: 284—5) a nomen dubium or ambiguum. Several mycologists interpreted it as *Tyromyces stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. (an old specimen); this seems to be most probable.

amorphus; *Polyporus amorphus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 651, 1922. No definite locality is given ("In moist forests on pine stumps everywhere very common, chiefly towards winter"). In PRC and PRM, there are specimens from five localities, all representing indeed *Skeletocutis amorpha* (FR. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Gloeoporus amorphus* (FR. ex FR.) KILLERM. = *Polyporus amorphus* FR. ex FR.: 1) Ondřejov, 1905, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Mnichovice, X. 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC); ibid., *Picea*, XI. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 485434). 3) Lysá, X. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485441). 4) Opočno, VIII. 1915, leg. PONCOVÁ, det. J. V. (PRC — inside the cover, there are two slips of paper; the one has the same text as the label, the other: "Lomnice n. P., X. 1915, leg. KOPECKÝ". It is evident that these specimens are mixed up from two localities!). 5) Hrusice, *Picea*, 30. VIII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC).

annosus; *Polyporus annosus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 660. No definite locality is given ("On trunks and stumps of pine, spruce and also deciduous trees distributed everywhere and very harmful fungus, all the year round"). In PRC and PRM there are collections from eight localities, all representing indeed *Heterobasidion annosus* (FR.) BREF. = *Fomes annosus* (FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus annosus* FR.: 1) Strašice, 1904, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703757). 2) Trhové Sviny, *Pinus sylvestris*, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Praha - Košíře, *Pinus*, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC). 4) Karlštejn, VI. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 5) Kunice, IX. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 6) Hrusice, *Alnus*, IX. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 7) Mnichovice, „V potočinách“, *Corylus avellana*, XII. 1917, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 8) Slivenec, IV. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC).

applanatus; *Polyporus applanatus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 684, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On old stumps of deciduous trees abundant throughout the whole year"). In PRC, there are

collections from five localities indeed belonging to *Ganoderma applanatum* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) PAT. = *Elvingia applanata* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) P. KARST. = *Polyporus applanatus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) WALLR. 1) Žehušice near Čáslav, 1911, leg. R. MAXIMOVIC, det. J. V. 2) Mnichovice, 1914, leg. et det. J. V. 3) Třeboň, VII. 1915, leg. WEINZETT [EJL, det. J. V. 4) Jevany, *Fagus*, VIII. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. The fifth collection is without data.

arcularis; *Polyporus arcularis* BATSCH. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 670, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On stumps, wood and branches in moist corners of forests and in brushwood, distributed everywhere but not abundant"). PRC and PRM contain collections of two species from three localities: the collection from Košíře, V. 1916, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703775), and that from Karlštejn, *Carpinus*, V. 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC), are indeed identical with *Polyporus anisoporus* DEL. et MONT. = *P. arcularius* (BATSCH) ex FR. s. auct. but the third from Komářice, *Quercus*, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703778), actually represents *Polyporus brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. = *P. subarcularius* (DONK) BOND. (edges of the pores are entire, non-laciniate).

betulina; *Lenzites betulina* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 694—5, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On birch, rarely oak stumps, all through the summer, everywhere a common species"). PRC contains only one collection (In ligno *Querci*, Kunice, II. 1916, leg. et det. J. V.), which belongs indeed to *Lenzites betulina* (L. ex FR.) FR. = *Trametes betulina* (L. ex FR.) PIL.

betulinus; *Polyporus betulinus* BULL. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 664, 1922. No locality is given ("distributed all over Bohemia but not common"). PRC and PRM contain two collections which are indeed identical with *Piptoporus betulinus* (BULL. ex FR.) P. KARST = *Polyporus betulinus* (BULL.) ex FR.: 1) Ostrolov. Újezd, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC — a collection for demonstration). 2) Žehušice, 1916, leg. R. MAXIMOVIC, det. J. V. (PRM 703779).

bombycinus; *Polyporus bombycinus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 637, 1922: "On rotten spruce bark in our garden at Mnichovice (10, 1916), on old willows in Zlíchov (1, 1919, R.)". PRM contains two collections from the above mentioned localities, however, both are identical with another species, viz. *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD: 1) Mnichovice, *Picea excelsa*, VIII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703825; the month of collection is different). 2) Zlíchov, *Salix*, I. 1919, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRM, without No.; on a small slip of paper the same data are written as on the label; they, however, differ in the date: here IV. 1918!). The identity of *Polyporus bombycinus* sensu VELENOVSKÝ was correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42: 458). The true *Polyporus bombycinus* FR. is *Anomoporia bombycina* (FR.) POUZ. = *Poria bombycina* (FR.) COOKE (see DONK 1974: 153).

borealis; *Polyporus borealis* WHLNB. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 648, 1922: "On old trunks and stumps of fir and spruce in mountains. Kohout Mt. in the Šumava Mts. (ŠIMEK), Boubín, Krkonoše Mts. (K), Rudohoří Mts. (L)". PRC and PRM 486286 contain only the material from a single locality (Krkonoše, VIII. 1913, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V.). It indeed represents the typically developed fruitbodies of *Climacocystis borealis* (FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus borealis* (FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus borealis* FR.

boucheanus; *Polyporus Boucheanus* KLOTSCH. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 665—6, 1922: "On stumps of various trees in forests, rare, in summer. Ondřejov and Sázava, Habr, Jevany, Strašice, Zbirov, Příbram, Písek, Žel. Hory Mts.". *Polyporus boucheanus* sensu VELENOVSKÝ is according to the description identical with common *Polyporus squamosus* (HUDS.) ex FR. = *Polyporellus squamosus* (HUDS. ex FR.) P. KARST. PILÁT (1936—42: 88) gives it correctly in the synonymy of this species, but at the same time also in the synonymy of *P. squamatus* (LLOYD) PIL., probably having regard to this material in the herbaria. PRC and PRM contain collections of terrestrial (not wood-inhabiting) polypores under the name *P. boucheanus* from two localities which, however, belong to two different species: 1) The material from the locality Klenová near Klatovy, leg. VRBA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 607490), represents *Albatrellus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) QUÉL. with a pileus having a deeply cracked surface. 2) The material from Bělčice, Zlatá Hora, *Pinetum*, 8. IX. 1914, leg. K. DOMIN, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 607491), is identical with *Albatrellus subrubescens* (MURRILL) POUZ.= *A. similis* POUZ. (also with a deeply cracked pileus), both being published by POUZAR (1966:360). The identity of the original *Favolus boucheanus* KLOTZSCH = *Polyporus boucheanus* (KL.) FR. is according to DONK (1974:292) quite unclear (nomen dubium and ambiguum).

brumalis; *Polyporus brumalis* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 670, 1922: "On Plecháč [a hill] near Mnichovice on hawthorn, on a hazel root near Choteč, Karlštejn". In PRC and PRM, there are preserved collections from both localities: 1) Mnichovice (Plecháč), *Crataegus*, VII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703787). 2) Mnichovice, Křeček's mill, VI. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703795); ibid., VIII. 1920, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Choteč, *Corylus*, VI. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). Additionally in PRC, there is one collection of the same species but without data. All these collection represent *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR. = *P. brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. sensu auct.

bulliardii; *Polyporus bulliardii* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 659, 1922: "On willow and poplar near Radotín and St. Prokop, in the winter of 1921". PRC and PRM 703855 contain only the collection from Třeboň under this name (*Populus*, XII. 1920, leg. NEŠPOR, det. J. V.), which is identical with *Daedaleopsis confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) SCHROET. = *Trametes confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) RAB. = *T. bulliardii* FR.

caesius; *Polyporus caesius* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 647, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On stumps and trunks of deci-

duous trees everywhere distributed, but not common"). In PRC and PRM, there are collections from three localities; all indeed belong to *Tyromyces caesius* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Leptoporus caesius* (SCHRAD.) ex FR.: 1) Todně Mt., *Fagus* stump, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK fil., det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 33886). 2) Mnichovice, *Betula* stump, IX. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 33887). 3) Řevnice, VIII. 1915, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 33897).

candidus; *Irpex candidus* WEINM. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes, houby 4—5: 743, 1922: "On bark and wood of pine near Třeboň, in September 1915, collected by WEINZETT[E]L". PRC contains the above mentioned collection (Třeboň, IX. 1915, leg. WEINZETT[E]L, det. J. V.), unfortunately quite destroyed by insects. From the remnants of the fungus it was found to be built up from generative hyphae with clamps; small cylindrical spores with amyloid walls were also noted. This fungus, therefore, was *Irpicodon pendulus* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Trametes pendula* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) PIL. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this collection. According to DONK (1974:85), *Irpex candidus* (EHRENB. ex SCHLECHTEND.) WEINM. is identical with *Hirschioporus fuscoviolaceus* (EHRENB. ex FR.) DONK.

canescens; *Irpex canescens* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 742, 1922: "On trunks of various, mainly deciduous trees. Near Karlštejn, 10, 1912". This collection is preserved in PRC (Karlštejn, *Carpinus betulus*, X. 1912, leg. et det. J. V.) and represents in reality *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. = *Cerrena unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this collection of VELENOVSKÝ. *Irpex canescens* FR. is in reality the synonym of *I. lacteus* (FR. ex FR.) FR. = *Trametes lactea* (FR. ex FR.) PIL.

carneoalbus; *Irpex carneoalbus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 741, 1922: "On old firs near Domažlice in January 1916 collected by teucher V. MELZER". The collection, containing remnants of fruitbodies, nearly totally destroyed by insects, is preserved in PRM 494558 (Domažlice, ad truncum *Abietis*, I. 1916, leg. V. MELZER, det. J. V.), being identical with *Tyromyces fragilis* (FR.) DONK = *Leptoporus fragilis* (FR.) QUÉL. PILÁT (1936—42: 594, in the index only) identified it erroneously with *T. mollis* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Leptoporus mollis* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. According to DONK (1974: 296), *Irpex carneo-albus* FR. is a nomen dubium.

caudicinus; *Polyporus caudicinus* SCHAEFF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 643, 1922. No definite locality is given ("In summer during warm rains all over Bohemia on trunks of walnut trees, false acacia, oaks, poplars..."). PRC contains only one collection (University Botanical Garden in Prague, the mycelium inside the trunk of *Quercus phellos*, 13. VII. 1923, leg. et det. J. V.) — but collected at a later date and labelled as *Polyporus sulphureus*. This is indeed *Laetiporus sulphureus* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Grifola sulphurea* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus caudicinus* (SCOP. ex POLLINI) SCHROET.

chioneus; *Polyporus chioneus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 645, 1922: "On a birch stump near Jevany, 1915, collected by Dr. KAVINA". This collection is preserved in PRM 33568 (Jevany, *Betula*, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V.) and represents in reality a small fruitbody of the typical *Tyromyces stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. correctly recognized already by PILÁT (1936—42: 202). *Polyporus chioneus* FR. ex FR. is identical with *Tyromyces chioneus* (FR. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Leptoporus albellus* (PECK) BOURD. et L. MAIRE (DONK 1974:185—6).

ciliatus; *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 670—1, 1922: "Mnichovice, Karlštejn, Radotín, Běchovice, Budyně (R), Chlumec n. C., Říp (JEDL.)". In PRC and PRM, there are collections from eight localities, all indeed representing *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR. = *P. brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. sensu auct.; the collection from Karlštejn, 1915 (PRC) contains an admixed fruitbody of *Polyporus melanopus* (PERS.) ex FR.: 1) Karlštejn, VII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC; however, inside the cover, there is a slip of paper containing the following data: "Chlumec n. Cidl., VII. 1915, leg. RIGELLOVÁ"; PRM 703798); ibid., VII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Zvánovice, *Carpinus*, VIII. 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703804). 3) Babice, 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 4) Mnichovice, VII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703796). 5) Habr, VIII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 6) Menčice, VII. 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703803). 7) Říp, VI. 1919, leg. V. JEDLIČKA, det. J. V. (PRC). 8) Jevany, IX. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703790; the month VIII. is given).

cinerea; *Daedalea cinerea* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 693, 1922: "In the spring of 1916 on a horse-chestnut in Stromovka (O. REISNER). On a maple near Třeboň (NEŠPOR, 12, 1920)". The collections from both these localities are preserved in PRC and PRM: 1) Stromovka, *Aesculus*, III. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710337). 2) Třeboň, *Acer*, XII. 1920, leg. J. NEŠPOR, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710336). The third, later collected specimen is preserved in PRC and PRM 710339. 3) Chuchle, *Juglans*, XI. 1922, leg. et det. J. VELENOVSKÝ. All these collections are indeed identical with *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. = *Cerrena unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Daedalea cinerea* PERS. ex FR.

circinatus; *Polyporus circinatus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 682—3, 1922: "Until now, it has been collected only in the autumn of 1915 near Dobříš by teacher STARÝ". The fragments of carpophores are preserved in PRC and PRM 688752 (Dobříš, 1915, leg. STARÝ, det. J. V.). This collection is identical with *Onnia triquetter* (FR.) IMAZ. in ITO sensu ČERNÝ = *Polystictus triquetter* (FR.) COOKE, not with *P. tomentosus* f. *circinatus* (FR.) PIL. = *Onnia circinata* (FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus circinatus* (FR.) FR. as believed by PILÁT (1936—42:585); for the distinction between these species see ČERNÝ (1974).

conchatus; *Polyporus conchatus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby. 4—5: 676, 1922: "On the base (!) of trunks and stumps of oaks and hornbeams

in the Chuchle Grove, in abundance... Also near Radotín and Karlštejn in winter as well as in summer". In PRC and PRM 710213 only one collection is preserved (Chuchle, *Carpinus*, V. 1916, leg. et det. J. V.); it, however, does not represent *Phellinus conchatus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus conchatus* (PERS.) ex FR., as PILÁT (1936—42:534) erroneously believed, but in reality *Phellinus torulosus* (PERS. ex PERS.) BOURD. et GALZ. (see KOTLABA 1975:18).

confluens; *Sistotrema confluens* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 737, 1922. No definite locality is given ("In woods of different kinds, in summer and autumn rather abundant"). In PRC, there are preserved two collections: 1) Řevnice, 1916, leg. K. KAVINA, det. J. V. 2) Mnichovice, IX. 1922, leg. et det. J. V.), both indeed identical with *Sistotrema confluens* PERS. ex FR.

confragosa; *Daedalea confragosa* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 694, 1922: "On deciduous trees till now only rarely observed. On mountain-ash at Košíře in February, 1916, collected by Director O. REISNER". The material preserved in PRC and PRM 703758 (Košíře, II. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) does not represent *Daedaleopsis confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) SCHROET. = *Trametes confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) PIL. = *Daedalea confragosa* (BOLT.) ex FR. but, surprisingly, young fruitbodies of *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* (WULF. ex FR.) P. KARST. PILÁT (1936—42: 338) treated it as *G. sepiarium* f. *crassum* PIL. (this form seems to be untenable on taxonomic grounds).

connatus; *Polyporus connatus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 660, 1922: "On old, hollow sycamores near Peruc in July, 1919 (O. REISNER)". In PRC a collection is preserved from this locality (Peruc, sycamore, 1919, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.); it is indeed *Rigidoporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Oxyporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) DONK = *Polyporus connatus* WEINM.

contiguus; *Polyporus contiguus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 672, 1922: "Ostr. Újezd (ŠIMEK, 1913), Šárka (1913, SLADKÝ)". In PRC and PRM there are collections from both localities: 1) Ostrolov. Újezd, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK fil., det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 704520, 710206). 2) Šárka, 1913, leg. SLADKÝ, det. J. V. (PRM 710203). Spores globose, smooth, hyaline, thick-walled, dextrinoid and cyanophilous, $5.6-6.2 \times 4.5-5.0 \mu\text{m}$; setae lacking. This is in both cases a typical *Phellinus punctatus* (FR.) PIL., not *P. ferruginosus* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) PAT. as was believed by PILÁT (1936—42: 540 et 596); the collection from Ostrol. Újezd, however, is cited correctly under *P. punctatus* (PILÁT 1936—42:531)!

corticola; *Polyporus corticola* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 636, 1922: "In Charles Square in Prague, 11, 1914". This material is preserved in PRC and PRM 485303 (Charles Sq. in Prague, XI. 1914, leg. et det. J. V.) and represents a young, resupinate fruitbody of *Bjerkandera adusta* (WILLD. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus adustus* (WILLD. ex FR.) PIL., not *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. f. *corticola* (FR. sensu VELEN.) PIL. as PILÁT (1936—42:164) believed. *Polyporus corticola* FR. is

identical with *Rigidoporus corticola* (FR.) POUZ. = *Poria corticola* (FR.) COOKE (see DONK 1974:113—4).

cristatus; *Polyporus cristatus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 662, 1922: "Ostr. Újezd (ŠIM., 1913), Brdy, Písek, Blatná... also a form with simple and slightly lobed pileus and cylindrical, firm, rather long, excentric stipe (Habr, Jevany)". In PRC and PRM only collections from three localities are preserved, all of them being indeed identical with *Albatrellus cristatus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus cristatus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus cristatus* (SCHAEFF.) ex FR.: 1) Ostr. Újezd, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Mnichovice, 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 627301). 3) Habr, IX. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 627296).

cyathoides; *Polyporus cyathoides* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 666, 1922: "On dry, rotten blackthorn near Myšín (8, 1918) . . . I suppose that *P. nummularius* FR. is identical". No material has been found in the herbaria. PILÁT (1936—42:108) gives *P. cyathoides* PERS. s. VELEN. into synonymy with *Polyporellus varius* (FR.) P. KARST. f. *nummularius* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL.; after the analysis of VELENOVSKÝ's description, this seems to be right. According to DONK (1974:144), *Polyporus cyathoides* (Sw. ex FR.) QUÉL. is identical with *Polyporus melanopus* (PERS.) ex FR.

dryadeus; *Polyporus dryadeus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 686, 1922: "On an oak in the pheasantry near Libochovice in July, 1918, collected by O. REISNER. Near Žehušice (Mxm.)". No material has been found in the herbaria, but according to PILÁT (1936—42:555) it is indeed *Inonotus dryadeus* (PERS. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Polyporus dryadeus* (PERS.) ex FR.; the same is evident from VELENOVSKÝ's description.

elegans; *Polyporus elegans* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 667, 1922: "On ash stumps near Libochovice (8, 1918, O. REISNER)". No material from this locality is preserved in the herbaria, but according to PILÁT (1936—42:106), it is *Polyporus varius* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Polyporellus varius* (FR.) P. KARST.; the same is evident from VELENOVSKÝ's description. In PRM 703817 (Boubín, *Tilia*, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. VELENOVSKÝ) and PRM 703813 (Bělá pod Bezdězem, 1920, leg. REISNER, det. J. VELENOVSKÝ) the preserved material under the above name is identical with *Polyporus varius* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Polyporus elegans* (BULL. ex FR.) TROG.

epileucus; *Polyporus epileucus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 643—4, 1922: "On false acacia in Stromovka in September, 1921, collected by O. REISNER". The material is preserved in PRC and PRM 486090 (Stromovka, *Robinia*, IX. 1921, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.). It is a section of a pileate fruitbody with duplex fibrillose trama and hyaline, smooth, rather thick-walled, ellipsoid to ovoid spores, $6.2-7.5 \times 4.4-5.8 \mu\text{m}$. This, however, is a typical *Spongipellis spumeus* (PERS ex FR.) PAT = *Leptoporus spumeus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. as was already correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:237). *Polyporus epileucus* FR. is a nomen

dubium, differently interpreted by various authors (see DONK 1974:311), however, not as *Spongipellis spumeus*.

evonymi; *Polyporus Evonymi* KALCHBR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 676, 1922: "On the base of trunks and on roots of cornel (*Cornus mas*) in a warm corner of the Valley of Radotín, in 1917". This collection was later described as a new species, viz. *Polyporus corni* VELENOVSKÝ 1925, and represents very probably *Phellinus pomaceus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) R. MAIRE (KOTLABA 1977) or a form of this species, or some closely related southern element (NIEMELÄ 1975:120). The material under the name *Polyporus evonymi* in PRC and PRM 704546 and 710169 is a later one [Krč, *Evonymus europ.*, 1925, leg. et det. J. VELENOVSKÝ] and represents indeed *Phellinus ribis* (SCHUM. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus evonymi* KALCHBR.

fibula; *Polyporus fibula* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 655, 1922: "Kbelnice near Přeštice (5, 1915, HEDRYCH), on the stump of a false acacia at Mnichovice (8, 1915)". The material from Kbelnice is preserved in PRC (Kbelnice near Přeštice, V. 1915, leg. HEDRYCH, det. J. V.) and represents old fruitbodies (from the previous season) of common *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex. FR.) QUÉL., not *Trametes pubescens* (SCHUM. ex FR.) PIL. as was published by PILÁT (1936—42:268 and 600). *Polyporus fibula* (SOW.) ex FR. is according to DONK (1974:313) a nomen dubium.

ferruginosus; *Polyporus ferruginosus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 673, 1922: "In an old, rotten table in an arbour of our garden at Mnichovice throughout the year, 1906—1914". The collection (Mnichovice, *Picea*, 1914, leg. et det. J. V.) is preserved in PRC: pores angular-rounded to rounded, 2—4 per mm, setae sharply pointed, rusty brown, 33—68 × 6.5—9.0 µm; spores hyaline, thinwalled, smooth, short cylindric to ellipsoid, (3.5—)4.2—5.6(—6.8) × (2.5—)2.8—3.4 µm. This actually is *Phellinus contiguus* (PERS. ex FR.) PAT. PRC and PRM 188044 contain an additional collection under the name *Polyporus ferruginosus* (*Fagus*, piece of wood in a timber yard at Smíchov, V. 1918, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.) which is not mentioned in Čes. houby; this is another polypore, viz. *Inonotus nodulosus* (FR.) P. KARST. = *I. radiatus* var. *nodulosus* (FR.) PIL.

fraxineus; *Polyporus fraxineus* BULL. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 685—6, 1922: "On stumps and trunks of ash trees, rare: Libochovičky (FCH), Blahotice near Slaný (R), Závist (R), Radotín, Stromovka". In PRC and PRM 703767, there are only two preserved collections: 1) Libochovičky, 20. II. 1916, leg. FECHTNER, det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Slaný, *Fraxinus*, XII. 1917, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703767). These are in both cases young carpophores of *Ganoderma applanatum* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) PAT. as was correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:487 and 600). *Polyporus fraxineus* (BULL.) ex FR. is identical with *Rigidoporus ulmarius* (SOW. ex FR.) IMAZ. in ITO = *Fomes ulmarius* (SOW. ex FR.) COOKE (see e. g. DONK 1974: 169).

frondosus; *Polyporus frondosus* Fl. Dan. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 663—4, 1922: "...in September, 1920, it appeared in Lány rather abundantly ... (FARSKÝ). On the base of oaks near Třeboň (WEINZ. 9, 1921)". No material has been found in the herbaria but according to the description, this is beyond doubt indeed *Grifola frondosa* (DICKS. ex FR.) S. F. GRAY = *Polyporus frondosus* (DICKS.) ex FR.

fulvus; *Polyporus fulvus* SCOP. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 679—680, 1922: "Čes. Budějovice (ŠIMEK), Mělník, Radotín, in 1917, on branches at the top of an old, dry black poplar in Stromovka...". The material from only one of the mentioned localities is preserved in PRC and PRM 703762 (Stromovka, on an old poplar, XI. 1917, leg. et det. J. V.) and represents one young carpophore of *Fomes fomentarius* (L. ex FR.) FR., whereas the second in PRC and PRM 703768 (Radotín, *Populus*, V. 1918, leg. et det. J. V.) belongs to a young carpophore of another species, viz. *Ganoderma applanatum* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) PAT. Another, later collection from the same locality (Radotín, *Prunus spinosa*, XI. 1922, leg. J. V. det. A. PILÁT; PRM 710199) and from Mnichovice, *Prunus domestica*, VII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 710201) is identical with *Phellinus pomaceus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) R. MAIRE = *Polyporus fulvus* (SCOP.) ex FR. sensu auct. PILÁT (1936—42: 346 and 601) identified it with both *Fomes fomentarius* and *F. fomentarius* f. *inzengae* (NOT.) PIL. VELENOVSKÝ's description fits very well with *Fomes fomentarius*.

fumosus; *Polyporus fumosus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 649, 1922: "Blanský les Mts. (ŠIM.), in the pheasantry near Budyně (R), Černošice (8, 1918), Jevany, Senohraby (Kar.), Stromovka (R)". The material from only one of the cited localities is preserved in PRC (Blanský les, on a beech stump, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK; collection for demonstration) and indeed represents *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus fumosus* (PERS.) ex FR. PRC and PRM 485305, 485314, and 485317 contain three additional collections which are not cited in Čes. houby: 1) Mnichovice, Křeček's fishpond, 1. VIII. 1919, leg. K. VELENOVSKÝ, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485317). 2) Třeboň, 1920, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485305). 3) Radotín, *Fraxinus*, X. 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485314). All these collections also represent *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST.

fuscidulus; *Polyporus fuscidulus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 672, 1922: "In Šumava Mts. near Čertovo jezero [in the vicinity of Žel. Ruda], 1914, collected by HEJNÝ". In PRM there are two different collections from the Šumava Mts.; the first seems to be identical with that cited above: Šumava Mts., Eisenstein [Žel. Ruda], VII. 1914, leg. HEJNÝ, det. J. V. (PRM 703797); this is *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR. = *P. brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. sensu auct.; it was also given in the synonymy of that species by PILÁT (1936—42:64). The second collection from the locality Čertovo jezero in the Šumava Mts., 1914, leg. DOMIN, det. J. V. (PRM 703809) represents a small carpophore of another species, viz. *Polyporus varius* (PERS.) ex FR. *Polyporus fuscidulus* (SCHRAD.) ex FR. is a nomen dubium (see DONK 1974:317).

gibbosa; *Daedalea gibbosa* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 691—2, 1922: "(... common in beach forests near Jevany) ... All over Bohemia, Šumava Mts., Krkonoše Mts., Carpathian Mts.". Two collections are preserved in PRC: 1) Mníšek, VII. 1915, leg. FOUSTKOVÁ, det. J. V. 2) Řevnice, VIII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. Both collections indeed represent *Trametes gibbosa* (PERS. ex PERS.) FR. = *Pseudotrametes gibbosa* (PERS. ex PERS.) BOND. et SING. = *Daedalea gibbosa* (PERS.) ex FR.

fuscoviolaceus; *Irpex fuscoviolaceus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 741, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... everywhere distributed but not common"). In PRC, there are collections from five localities (one is without date, two were found after the edition of České houby); all are identical with *Hirschioporus fuscoviolaceus* (EHRENB. ex FR.) DONK = *Trametes abietina* var. *fuscoviolacea* (EHRENB. ex FR.) PIL. = *Irpex fuscoviolaceus* (EHRENB. ex FR.) FR.: 1) Ostrov. Újezd, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (2x). 2) Jindřichův Hradec, 4. V. 1919, leg. F. NEUWIRTH, det. J. V. 3) Valley of Zvánovice, pine, VIII. 1920, leg. et det. J. V. 4) In timber yard at Smíchov ..., XI. 1922, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. 5) Mnichovice, *Larix*, 3. XII. 1930, leg. et det. J. V.

giganteus; *Polyporus giganteus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 663, 1922: "On beech stumps in beech forests near Jevany, in autumn abundant. On fir stumps near Brěznice (ZVÁRA). Mouchnice in Moravia (SL)". PRC and PRM 186655 contain only one collection: Jevany, IX. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (fragments of the pileus). This is indeed *Meripilus giganteus* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Grifola gigantea* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus giganteus* (PERS.) ex FR.

glaberrimus; *Irpex glaberrimus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 741—2, 1922: "On a willow stump near Radotín in April 1916 (O. REISNER)". The material is preserved in PRC (Radotín, *Salix*, IV. 1916, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.) and represents old (from the previous season), semiresupinate carpophores of *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. PILÁT (1936—42) and DONK (1974) do not mention *Irpex glaberrimus* PERS. at all.

hartigii; *Polyporus hartigii* ALLESCH. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 677, 1922: "On dry pine (!) trunks near Hlubočepy in the winter of 1916 (REISNER)". This polypore was later designated by VELENOVSKÝ as *Polyporus sterilis* VELEN. in herb. being identical with *Phellinus ignarius* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL.; the substrate is *Acer platanoides* (KOTLABA 1977). In PRC (collection for demonstration) there is still another collection under the name *Polyporus hartigii*: Valley of Zvánovice near Voděrady, on old fir, VIII. 1922 (no collector is given); this is a true *Phellinus hartigii* (ALL. et SCHN.) PAT. = *Polyporus hartigii* ALL. et SCHN.

hepatica; *Fistulina hepatica* SCHAEFF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 729—30, 1922: "Common in the surroundings of Prague, mainly all over the whole region of the river Labe, Chlumec n. C., Dobříš, Třeboň, Písek, Tábor, Mnichovice, Želez. hory Mts., etc.". In PRC (in glass cylinders with a preservation liquid) there are only two collections: 1) Chudenice,

Čelakovský. 2) Roblín, 1934, which indeed represent *Fistulina hepatica* (SCHAEFF.) ex FR.

hexagonoides; *Polyporus hexagonoides* FR. (in Quél. Jur.). VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4-5: 639, 1922: "On the ground next to an oak stump, widely distributed in Vídrholec near Jirny, 8, 1919". The material is preserved in PRC, although the label shows a somewhat different designation: Běchovice, VIII. 1919, leg. et det. J. VELENOVSKÝ (the village of Běchovice is in the vicinity of the Forest of Vírdholec). The carpophore is tuberiform, formed of a monomitic hyphal system with generative hyphae with clamps; spores broadly ellipsoid to nearly globose, hyaline, smooth, rather thick-walled, $5.0-5.6 \times 4.0-5.0 \mu\text{m}$. PILÁT (1936-42:602) believed that it was *Coriolellus albidus* (FR. ex FR.) BOND. = *Trametes albida* (FR. ex FR.) BRES. in KILLERM., but it actually is *Abortiporus biennis* (BULL. ex FR.) SING. = *Heteroporus biennis* (BULL. ex FR.) LÁZ. According to DONK (1974:50), *Polyporus hexagonoides* (FR. in QUÉL.) FELTG. is identical with *Daedalea quercina* (L.) ex FR. = *Trametes quercina* (L. ex FR.) PIL.

hians; *Polyporus hians* KARST. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4-5: 640, 1922: "On rotten pine wood in Obora near Smečno, 8, 1918 (R)". No material has been found in the herbaria. *Polyporus hians* P. KARST. actually is *Anomoporia bombycinus* (FR.) POUZ. = *Poria bombycinus* (FR.) COOKE (see e. g. PILÁT 1936-42:431 and DONK 1974:153), but the polypore in Čes. houby according to PILÁT (1936-42:195) is a *Tyromyces resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) BOND. et SING. = *Leptoporus resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) PIL. = ?*Poria gossypium* SPEG.; the same is evident from VELENOVSKÝ's description.

hirsutus; *Polyporus hirsutus* WULF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4-5: 653-4, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... in summer and autumn everywhere abundant"). In PRC and PRM there are collections from three localities: 1) Karlštejn, X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703865). 2) Vonoklasy, VI. 1916, leg. KASPAR, det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Ban. Bystrica, *Populus tremula*, 1921, leg. TRAPL, det. J. V. (PRM 703870). All these collections indeed represent *Trametes hirsuta* (WULF. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus hirsutus* (WULF. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus hirsutus* (WULF.) ex FR.

hispidoïdes; *Polyporus hispidoides* PECK. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4-5: 684, 1922: "On trunks of old living Weymouth pine (*Pinus strobus*) in the Forest of Běchovice in June 1919" (see also VELENOVSKÝ 1920: 271). The original *Polyporus hispidoides* PECK is, according to the literature [e.g. PILÁT (1936-42:135) and DONK (1974:121)], identical with *Phaeolus schweinitzii* (FR.) PAT., which could also well be VELENOVSKÝ's polypore. PILÁT (1936-42:135 and 560), however, gave it simultaneously — though with a question mark — into synonymy with both *Phaeolus schweinitzii* (FR.) PAT. and *Inonotus rheades* (PERS.) P. KARST.; the latter identity seems to be impossible. Unfortunately, VELENOVSKÝ's material has not been found in any herbaria.

hispidus; *Polyporus hispidus* BULL. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 684, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On trunks of various deciduous trees... but mainly on apple trees..."). In PRC and PRM 710075 there is only a single collection: Mnichovice, *Pirus malus*, 1918, leg. et det. J. V. It is indeed identical with *Inonotus hispidus* (BULL. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus hispidus* (BULL.) ex FR.

igniarius; *Polyporus igniarius* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 677, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... on plum trees, cherry trees, apple trees... but chiefly on willow, also on oak"). In PRC there is a collection from Třeboň (*Prunus domestica*, 1920, leg. et det. J. V.) which represents *Phellinus pomaceus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) R. MAIRE = *P. igniarius* ssp. *pomaceus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) PIL.; in PRM 710173, another collection is preserved: Křivoklát, *Quercus*, 1916, leg. et det. J. V. This is in reality *Phellinus lundellii* NIEMELÄ (according to the revision of this material made by T. NIEMELÄ in 1973); it is doubtful, whether the substrate was determined correctly!

incarnatus; *Polyporus incarnatus* ALB. et SCHW. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 642, 1922: "On old, rotten pine beam in the timber yard at Smíchov in March, 1916, collected by Director OT. REISNER". The material is preserved in PRC and PRM 710349 (Smíchov, *Pinus*, III. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) and represents a resupinate fruitbody of the common *Trametes serialis* (FR.) FR. = *Coriolellus serialis* (FR.) MURRILL, coloured red by bacteria; this was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:604). The correct name for *Poria incarnata* PERS. = *Polyporus incarnatus* (PERS.) ex FR. of earlier authors is *Ceriporiopsis placenta* (FR.) DOMAÑ. = *Poria placenta* (FR.) COOKE (see DONK 1974:159—160).

johnstonii; *Irpex johnstonii* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 742, 1922: "On pine bark in the timber yard at Smíchov in April, 1917 (O. REISNER). This timber was brought from Vysoká near Bezděz". This collection is preserved in PRC (*Pinus* from Vysoká near Bezděz, Smíchov, IV. 1917, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.) and represents pale ochraceous (when living, probably of whitish colour), resupinate fruitbody of *Hirschioporus fuscoviolaceus* (EHRENB. ex FR.) DONK = *Trametes abietina* var. *fuscoviolacea* (EHRENB. ex FR.) PIL. It is interesting that *Irpex johnstonii* BERK. 1860 (and FRIES, 1874) according to PILÁT (1936—42: 273) is identical with *Trametes abietina* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. = *Hirschioporus abietinus* (PERS. ex FR.) DONK whereas according to DONK (1974:98), it is identical with *Irpex lacteus* (FR. ex FR.) FR. = *Trametes lactea* (FR. ex FR.) PIL.!

lacteus; *Polyporus lacteus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 645, 1922: "On willow trunks near Záběhlice in the autumn of 1914, collected by teacher MAŠEK". According to PILÁT (1936—42:162), this is *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. but, unfortunately, no herbarium material has been found and the description in Čes. houby is so brief that it is impossible to decide the problem. It is doubtful whether PILÁT saw the herbarium material, but his opinion would be correct under the supposition that the carpo-

phore when described by VELENOVSKÝ was very young and, therefore, snow white. *Polyporus lacteus* FR. 1821 is differently interpreted and, according to DONK (1974:190—1), a nomen dubium and ambiguum.

laricina; *Lenzites laricina* KARST. VELENOVSKÝ, Mykologia, Praha, 7: 18, 1930: "On larch stumps near Myšlín (Mnichovice) in August, 1922, collected by Dr. ALB. PILÁT". This collection is preserved in PRC and PRM 703759 (with the name of the collector given incorrectly: Mnichovice, 1922, leg. et det. J. VELENOVSKÝ) and represents a thin fruitbody of an old carpophore of *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* (WULF. ex FR.) P. KARST. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this collection. *Lenzites laricina* P. KARST. is identical with *Hirschioporus laricinus* (P. KARST.) TERAMOTO = *Trametes abietina* f. *lenzitoidea* (MURAŠK. in PIL.) PIL. (see DONK 1974:85—6).

latissima; *Daedalea latissima* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 690, 1922: "In the timber yards at Smíchov in the spring of 1917, collected by Director O. REISNER". The material is preserved in PRC and PRM 710346 (Smíchov, IV. 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) and represents a resupinate, old, nearly black coloured carpophore of *Trametes serialis* (FR.) FR. = *Coriolellus serialis* (FR.) MURRILL. According to PILÁT (1936—42:280), *Daedalea latissima* (FR.) FR. is identical with *Trametes unicolor* f. *latissima* (FR.) PIL.; DONK (1974:36—7) takes it for a synonym of *Cerrena unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL.

leptocephalus; *Polyporus leptocephalus* JACQ. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 672, 1922: "On twigs of deciduous trees in groves near Černošice, in summer abundant, near St. Prokop on an old oak trunk (R)". *Polyporus leptocephalus* (JACQ.) ex FR. is identical with *Polyporus varius* (PERS.) ex FR. (see DONK 1974:148) or *P. varius* f. *nummularius* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. (see PILÁT 1936—42:108) but the material preserved in PRC and PRM 703774 (Černošice, deciduous forest, VII. 1914, leg. et det. J. V.) represents in reality *Polyporus brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. = *P. subarcularius* (DONK) BOND. — not *P. arcularius* var. *scabellus* as was believed by PILÁT (1936—42:606; but on p. 79 he does not cite this collection).

lepidus; *Polyporus lepidus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 669, 1922: "Always on rotten stumps in summer: Roblín, Chuchle, Karlštejn, Slapy, Jíloviště, abundant above Radotín, Lány, Strašice". All collections preserved in PRC and PRM (from five localities) are identical with *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR. = *Polyporellus ciliatus* (FR. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus lepidus* FR. ex STEUD.: 1) Roblín, VI. 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703784). 2) Lány, V. 1916, leg. KAŠPAR, det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Karlštejn, *Quercus*, VI. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703788); ibid., V. 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703794). 4) Jíloviště, V. 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703789). 5) Říp, VI. 1919, leg. JEDLIČKA, det. J. V. (PRM 703794). According to DONK (1974:141), *Polyporus lepidus* FR. ex STEUD. is identical with *P. ciliatus* FR. ex FR.; PILÁT 1936—42:68, identified it with *Polyporellus brumalis* f. *lepidus* (FR.) PIL.

leucomelas; *Polyporus leucomelas* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 668, 1922: "In Krkonoše Mts. in Kotelní Jáma in 1913, collected by Dr. KAVINA". The collection is preserved in PRC and PRM 193448 (Kotelní Jáma in Krkonoše Mts., 1913, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V.). These are young, badly dried carpophores of *Albatrellus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) QUÉL. with spores smooth, hyaline, thick-walled, short ellipsoid to ovoid, non-amyloid, $3.5-4.8 \times 2.8-3.8 \mu\text{m}$ — therefore not *Caloporus leucomelas* (PERS. ex PERS.) PIL. = *Boletopsis leucomelaena* (PERS. ex PERS.) FAYOD as was believed by PILÁT (1936—42:20).

lucidus; *Polyporus lucidus* LEYSS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 687—8, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... abundant all over the territory of Bohemia"). In PRC there are two different collections: 1) Mnichovice, 1918, leg. et det. J. V. 2) Bučovice, Čerčínský les, VII. 1920, leg. et det. F. NEUWIRTHI which are indeed identical with *Ganoderma lucidum* (CURT. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus lucidus* (CURT.) ex FR.

luteolus; *Polyporus luteolus* BECK. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 669, 1922: "In woods near Bělohrad in July, 1920, collected by Prof. J. VLČEK". This material has not been found in any herbaria, but according to PILÁT (1936—42:16) it is a form of *Albatrellus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) QUÉL. The correct author abbreviations for *Polyporus luteolus* is (BECK) VELEN., since BECK described this taxon as *P. subsquamulosus* var. *luteolus* BECK. (see DONK 1974:20).

marginatus; *Polyporus marginatus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 661, 1922: "On oak and beech, rare. Třemšín, Brdy Mts. near Strašice, Boubín (K), Šumava Mts.". In PRC and PRM 703765 there is only a collection from Třemšín (*Fagus*, VIII. 1882, leg. et det. J. V.) which indeed represents the typical *Fomitopsis pinicola* (SW. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus marginatus* (PERS.) ex FR. VELENOVSKÝ followed the concept of many authors of that time that *P. marginatus* is an independent species growing on deciduous trees and *P. pinicola* on conifers (this was found later to be untenable).

medulla-panis; *Polyporus medulla-panis* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 638: "On old wood of deciduous trees all the year round. Karlštejn, Roblín (hornbeam), Dobříš (STARÝ), Cibulka (R), Třeboň (NEŠPOR, pine), Mnichovice, Strašice, Čerchov Mt.". In PRC and PRM, there are many collections from five localities under that name but no one is identical with *Polyporus medulla-panis* (JACQ.) ex FR. = *Perenniporia medulla-panis* (JACQ. ex FR.) DONK! Most of the material in PRC and PRM represents resupinate fruitbodies of *Trametes serialis* (FR.) FR. = *Coriolellus serialis* (FR.) MURRILL = *Poria callosa* (FR.) BRES. (this species was formerly mostly determined as *Polyporus* or *Poria medulla-panis* in the herbaria as well as published in the literature!): 1) Smíchov, 1914, leg. A. HILITZER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710348). 2) Košíře, III. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710347). 3) Praha, ad lignum pineum, 20. III. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRM 710344). 4) Třeboň,

1918, leg. WEINZETTEL, det. J. V. (PRM 710350); ibid., *Pinus*, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710330). 5) Čerchov Mt., 5. VIII. 1918, leg. A. HILITZER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710343 — there is incorrectly given "leg. et det. J. V."). One collection under the name *P. medulla-panis* in PRC and PRM 492187 (Košíře, *Quercus*, III. 1916, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.) is identical with *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD (the collection in PRM was correctly revised by S. DOMAŃSKI in 1965).

melanopus; *Polyporus melanopus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 666, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... in summer everywhere very abundant"). All collections — except one — in PRC and PRM are indeed identical with *P. melanopus* (PERS.) ex FR.: 1) Mnichovice, 1913, 1914 (PRC, PRM 703807); ibid., VIII. 1915 (PRC, PRM 703811). 2) In *Betuleto* ap. Mnichovice, 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703814). 3) Ondřejov, 1905, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 703815). Only the collection in PRC from Ondřejov (1905, leg. et det. J. VELENOVSKÝ — the same label as in PRM 703815 listed above) represents another species, viz. *Polyporus badius* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) SCHW. = *P. picipes* FR. PILÁT (1936—42:112) did not recognize the identity of the last collection.

micans; *Polyporus micans* EHRENB. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 638, 1922: "On old wood of deciduous trees, rare. On the wood of a sycamore near Mnichovice, 10, 1914". *Polyporus micans* (EHRENB.) ex FR. is identical with *Junghuhnia nitida* (PERS. ex FR.) RYV. = *Poria eupora* (P. KARST.) COOKE (see e.g. DONK 1974:102) but VELENOVSKÝ's material cited above represents another species, viz. *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL., as was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:162 and 608).

montagnei; *Polyporus montagnei* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 683, 1922: "Among grass in a birch grove near Mnichovice in August, 1916". In PRM 191771 (Mnichovice, small birch grove, IX, 1916, leg. et det. J. V. — the month of collection is incorrect) there is only one stipitate carpophore with a round pileus, 4.5 μm broad, and a rusty, radially fibrillose surface; pores large, 0.5—2 per mm; stipe short, thick, rusty, $2.0 \times 1.3 \mu\text{m}$; no setae are present; spores smooth, hyaline, ovoid, thick-walled, cyanophilous, $\approx 7.5 \times 5.0 \mu\text{m}$. This is a true *Coltricia montagnei* (FR. ex MONT.) MURRILL = *Polyporus montagnei* FR. ex MONT.! PILÁT (1936—42:580 and 582) classified it as *Polystictus perennis* f. *montagnei* (FR.) PIL., but this is a good, independent species, not a mere form of *Coltricia perennis* (L. ex FR.) MURRILL.

mucidus; *Polyporus mucidus* (only in VELENOVSKÝ's herbarium, not mentioned in České houby nor elsewhere!). *Polyporus mucidus* (PERS.) ex FR. is according to DONK (1974:158—9) *Poria mucida* (PERS. ex FR.) COOKE = *Fibuloporia donkii* DOMAŃ., but the material in PRC (Chudenice, glasshouse, *Picea*?, VII. 1884, leg. ČELAKOVSKÝ fil., det. J. V.) has shortly ellipsoid spores, slightly thick-walled, $4.0—5.0 \times 2.0—2.5 \mu\text{m}$, being identical with *Tyromyces resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) BOND. et SING. = *Leptoporus resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) PIL. PILÁT (1936—

42:199) identified it with *Leptoporus fodinarum* (VELEN.) PIL. but this polypore, in my opinion (KOTLABA 1977), is identical with *Tyromyces resupinatus*. Additionally, there are two collections of VELENOVSKÝ from Mnichovice in PRM under the name *Poria mucida* which were not determined by VELENOVSKÝ: 1) Mnichovice, leg. J. V. det. H. BOURDOT PRM 703832) 2) Mnichovice, ad truncum *Pruni domesticae*, 1915, leg. J. V., det. A. PILÁT (PRM, sine No.); labels are written in PILÁT's hand (it is unknown to me under which name VELENOVSKÝ had them originally!). Both collections are identical with *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD.

nigricans; *Polyporus nigricans* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 678, 1922: "On old birch (!) trunks, rare. A nice specimen was brought by Director REISNER from the timber yard at Smíchov in April, 1917". This collection is preserved in PRC (Smíchov, *Betula*, 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) and represents a very old, blackened carpophore (there is only a section of it in the herbarium) of *Fomes fomentarius* (L. ex FR.) FR. = *Polyporus nigricans* FR. sensu FR. 1838 pro parte (see DONK 1974:58).

obducens; *Polyporus obducens* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 640, 1922: "In a hollow trunk of an ash tree in the pheasantry near Libochovice, 8, 1918 (R)". The true *P. obducens* PERS. is called in modern taxonomy *Rigidoporus obducens* (PERS.) POUZ. = *Oxyporus obducens* (PERS.) DONK (see e.g. DONK 1974:112), but the polypore from VELENOVSKÝ's herbarium preserved in PRC and PRM 193446 and 615289, is different. The carpophore is resupinate, too, but clearly stratified (about 10 layers!); hyphal system trimitic, the skeletal hyphae non-dextrinoid and acyanophilous and the spores smooth, hyaline, thick-walled, ovoid, mostly truncate, dextrinoid and cyanophilous, $5.0—5.6(-6.5) \times 3.5—4.3 \mu\text{m}$. This is *Perenniporia medulla-panis* (JACQ. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria medulla-panis* (JACQ. ex FR.) COOKE (on the labels in the herbaria it was incorrectly written "Libochovičky" instead of Libochovice; I corrected it, because in Čes. houby as well as in VELENOVSKÝ's manuscript, it is written Libochovice).

obliquus; *Irpe obliquus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 743, 1922: "In our garden at Mnichovice on rotten bark of plum trees in the winter of 1915". The collection from the above mentioned locality is preserved in PRC (Mnichovice, plum trees, XII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V.) and indeed represents *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD = *Irpe obliquus* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) FR.

obliquus; *Polyporus obliquus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 683, 1922: "Near Třeboň in August, 1915, collected by Director V. WEINZETT[E]L". *Polyporus obliquus* (PERS.) ex FR. is called in modern taxonomy *Inonotus obliquus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL., but the above mentioned collection preserved in PRC and PRM 710357 (Třeboň, VIII. 1915, leg. WEINZETTEL, det. J. V.) represents a resupinate fruitbody of quite another polypore, viz. *Gleophysllum trabeum* (PERS. ex FR.) MURRILL as was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:339).

odoratus; *Polyporus odoratus* WULF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 680, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... everywhere in deep forests, abundant"). The collections preserved in PRC and PRM are identical with *Osmoporus odoratus* (WULF. ex FR.) SING. = *Gloeophyllum odoratum* (WULF. ex FR.) IMAZ. = *Polyporus odoratus* (WULF.) ex FR.: 1) Komářice, *Abies* (codex). 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710177). 2) Ap. opp. Železná Ruda (Eisenstein), VII. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Ban-Bystrica, leg. TRAPL, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710178 — here the date of collection, 1919 is given).

ovinus; *Polyporus ovinus* SCHAEFF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 668—9, 1922. No definite locality is mentioned ("In forests of different kinds ... common all over Bohemia"). In PRC there is preserved only one collection in a glass cylinder with preservation liquid. This material is from Hohenfurth [= Vyšší Brod near Čes. Krumlov], 1877, and is indeed identical with *Albatrellus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Caloporus ovinus* (SCHAEFF. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus ovinus* (SCHAEFF.) ex FR. as the spores are nonamyloid.

paradoxus; *Irpea paradoxus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 742, 1922: "Jevany, Řevnice (K), Kuněmily near Světlá n. S. (K)". In PRC there are collections from Řevnice (VI. 1916, leg. K. KAVINA, det. J. V.) and Kuněmily (1917, leg. K. KAVINA, det. J. V.), which are indeed identical with *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD = *Irpea paradoxus* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) FR.

pauletii; *Polyporus Pauletii* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 664, 1922: "On the base of trunks and stumps of deciduous trees above Sázava in September, 1921, collected by FR. FECHTNER". *Polyporus pauletii* FR. 1838 is identical with *Albatrellus pes-caprae* (PERS. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Caloporus pes-caprae* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. (see e.g. DONK 1974:20), but the material cited above and preserved in PRC and PRM 186624 (Sázava, IX. 1921, leg. FECHTNER, det. J. V.) actually represents *Grifola frondosa* (DICKS. ex FR.) S. F. GRAY = *Polyporus frondosus* (DICKS.) ex FR. It was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:35) who believed that it is a special form of this species, viz. *Grifola frondosa* f. *intybacea* (FR.) PIL. (I am of the opinion that it is only a depauperate carpophore of *G. frondosa*, therefore a form without any taxonomic value).

perennis; *Polyporus perennis* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 681, 1922. No definite locality is given ("... all over the territory of Bohemia ... abundant ..."). In PRC and PRM there are collections from five localities; they are all identical with *Coltricia perennis* (L. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Polystictus perennis* (L. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus perennis* (L.) ex FR.: 1) Lysá n. L., pine forests, VI. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703802); ibid., VII. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Třeboň, VIII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703800). 3) Jevany, 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703801); ibid., IX. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 4) Vysoké Tatry [High Tatra Mts.], Osterva Mts., 1200 m, 23. VIII. 1919, leg. VILHELM, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703799). 5) Praha, 1923, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC).

pes-caprae; *Polyporus pes-caprae* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 667—8, 1922: "Jevany, Třeboň (W), Žehušice (Mx), Opočno (PONC), Trh. Sviny (ŠIM.), Krkonoše (K), Šumava Mts. (H), Březnice (ZVÁRA)". From the above mentioned localities there are only two collections preserved in PRC and PRM; they are indeed identical with *Albatrellus pes-caprae* (PERS. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Polyporus pes-caprae* (PERS. ex FR.): 1) Jevany, 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Cejnov near Trh. Sviny, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRM 703770). The collection from Jevany (1914, leg. et det. J. V. — PRM 703771) does not represent a polypore but a young bolete, most probably *Uloporus lividus* (BULL. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Gyrodon lividus* (BULL. ex FR.) SACC.

picipes; *Polyporus picipes* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 666, 1922: "In the Valley of Radotín, 6, 1918". In the herbaria, the above mentioned collection is not present, but another one (Rychmburk, leg. ZVÁRA, det. J. VELENOVSKÝ — PRM 703812) representing, however, *Polyporus melanopus* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Polyporellus melanopus* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST.

pini; *Polyporus pini* THORE. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 680, 1922: "Mnichovice, Dobříš (ST.), Dobřichovice, Příbram, Zbirov, Písek, Blatná, Čekanice". The preserved material in PRC is only from two localities; both are indeed identical with *Phellinus pini* (BROT. ex FR.) AMES = *Xanthochrous pini* (BROT. ex FR.) PAT.: 1) Mnichovice, 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (collection for demonstration). 2) Slivice near Příbram, IV. 1915, leg. DOMIN, det. J. V.

pinicola; *Polyporus pinicola* SCHWARTZ. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 660—61, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On old trunks of pine, spruce and fir distributed everywhere all the year round"). In PRC there are collections only from two localities, both indeed representing the true *Fomitopsis pinicola* (SW. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Fomes marginatus* (PERS. ex FR.) GILL.: 1) Řevnice, 1915, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. 2) Smíchov, VI. 1916, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V.

poetschii; *Daedalea Poetschii* SCHULZ. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 694, 1922. In VELENOVSKÝ's manuscript there is a full description with a drawing and the data of collection but in Čes. houby there is no description but only a remark under the heading of *Daedalea indurata*: "*D. Poetschii* SCHULZ. is suspicious as its description fits well in the common *Lenzites sepiaria*". The material preserved in PRC and PRM 710356 (Praha, Botanical Garden, *Pinus*, a board in hotbed, IV. 1922, leg. et det. J. V.) is identical with *Gloeophyllum trabeum* (PERS. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Lenzites trabea* (PERS. ex FR.) as was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:339). According to DONK (1974:76), *Daedalea poetschii* SCHULZ. in POETSCH is a synonym of *Gloeophyllum trabeum* (PERS. ex FR.) MURRILL.

quercina; *Daedalea quercina* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 691, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On trunks, stumps and worked wood of oak, hornbeam and beech, throughout the whole year"). In PRC there are three collections under this name, but only two are really identical

with *Daedalea quercina* (L.) ex FR. = *Trametes quercina* (L. ex FR.) PIL.: 1) Kladno, in minis, 1917, leg. ŽOFKA, det. J. V. 2) Kolín, 1923, sub cortice, leg. et det. J. V. (*syrrocium*). The third collection (Chuděnice near Klatovy, VIII. 1884, leg. ČELAKOVSKÝ fil., det. J. V.) represents in reality *Gloeophyllum abietinum* (BULL. ex FR.) P. KARST. with typical, encrusted cystida.

queletii; *Lenzites Queletii* SCHULZ. VELENOVSKÝ, Mykologia, Praha, 7: 18, 1930: "On oak stumps (but also on aspen) in Slovakia, August, 1923, collected by Dr. FR. NOVÁK". It is not clear what Schulzer's fungus is, perhaps *Lenzites warnieri* DUR. et MONT. = *L. reichardtii* SCHULZ. (see DONK 1974:353, nomen dubium), but the polypore from VELENOVSKÝ's collection (Slovakia, *Quercus*, VIII. 1923, leg. F. NOVÁK, det. J. V. — PRC, PRM 703857) is *Daedaleopsis confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) SCHROET. = *Trametes confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) RABENH. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention *Lenzites queletii*.

radiatus; *Polyporus radiatus* SOW. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 674, 1922. No definite locality is given ("In alder woods, very common all over Bohemia"). There are collections from three localities in PRC and PRM, all being indeed identical with *Inonotus radiatus* (SOW. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus radiatus* (SOW.) ex FR.: Ostrolov. Újezd, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Mnichovice, Všestary, X. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC); ibid., without the date, *Alnus*, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710076). 3) Řevnice, 1916, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC).

radula; *Polyporus Radula* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 637—8, 1922: "On rotten spruce stumps near Řevnice (K.) ... Timber yards at Smíchov (12, 1917, R.). In the mines of Kladno, it covers spruce beams (Ž.)". *P. radula* (PERS.) ex FR. is a synonym of *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD (see e.g. DONK 1974:171). In PRC and PRM there are many collections from six localities under the name *Polyporus radula*. PILÁT (1936—42:614) mentioned correctly (in the index) that *Polyporus radula* in the sense of VELENOVSKÝ is a "species cummulativa" as nearly every collection represents a different species (some of them are unidentifiable): 1) Ježany, *Fagus*, X. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. — the collection in PRC is indeed *Schizophora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD, whereas that in PRM 678816 represents small, resupinate, sterile carpophore, probably of *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL. 2) Řevnice, *Picea*, VIII. 1915, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703835) — this is *Poria* sp. 3) Kladno, mines, XI. 1916, leg. ŽOFKA, det. J. V. (PRC) — this is *Tyromyces resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) BOND. et SING. = *Leptoporus resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) PIL. = ? *Poria gossypium* SPEG. 4) Smíchov, 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. — the material preserved in PRC represents a very thin *Poria* sp., whereas that in PRM 703833 is identical with *Fibroporia vallantii* (DC. ex FR.) PARM. = *Poria vallantii* (DC. ex FR.) COOKE. 5) Zlíčov, *Salix*, X. 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703834) — this is *Rigidoporus obducens* (PERS.) POUZ. = *Oxyporus obducens* (PERS.) DONK. 6) Mnichovice, VIII. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710208) —

this is *Rigidoporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Oxyporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) DONK. 7) Mnichovice, *Picea* [?!], VIII. 1918, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 189352) — this collection was revised by A. PILÁT as *Poria rixosa* P. KARST. = *Chaetoporus collabens* (FR.) POUZ. but it is in reality *Rigidoporus obducens* (PERS.) DONK.

ramosissimus; *Polyporus ramosissimus* SCHAEFF. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 667, 1922: "Struhařov, Třeboň, Dolní Královice, Písek, Plzeň, Heřman. Městec, Slapy, Beroun, Kyjov". In spite of the fact that many localities are cited, no specimen has been found in the herbaria. According to the synonymy and description given by VELENOVSKÝ, it is without doubt indeed *Polyporus umbellatus* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Grifola umbellata* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. = *Polyporus ramosissimus* (SCOP.) ex SCHROET.

resinosus; *Polyporus resinosus* SCHRAD. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 674, 1922: "On trunks of deciduous trees. Near Třeboň, collected by teacher NEŠPOR (1915) and send to me as *P. holmiensis*...". Unfortunately, no material has been found in the herbaria but according to the description of VELENOVSKÝ I believe that it is indeed *Ischnoderma resinosum* (FR.) P. KARST. PILÁT (1936—42:129) writes that VELENOVSKÝ's *Polyporus resinosus* does not belong to *Ischnoderma resinosum* but on the other hand he listed the collection above mentioned of Nešpor from Třeboň at the same time among the localities of that species (p. 130).

ribis; *Polyporus Ribis* SCHUM. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 675—6, 1922: "Abundant on the base of old redcurrant shrubs in the vicinity of Prague, especially in moist gardens. In our garden at Mnichovice every year... Director REISNER also found this species in Košíře on black-thorn". In PRC and PRM, there are preserved collections from three localities which are indeed identical with *Phellinus ribis* (SCHUM. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Polyporus ribis* (SCHUM.) ex FR.: 1) Mnichovice, 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, 2x, PRM 710168); ibid., *Ribes*, IV. 1925, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710167). 2) Košíře, *Prunus spinosa*, 1918, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710170). 3) Klenová, 1918, leg. VRBA, det J. V. (PRC, PRM 710165). Only one collection preserved in PRC under the name *Polyporus ribis* (Ap. urbem Praha, leg. ŠIMEK fil., det. J. V.) actually represents another species, viz. *Phellinus torulosus* (PERS. ex PERS.) BOURD. et GALZ.

roburneus; *Polyporus roburneus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 678, 1922: "On a hundred-year-old oak in the romantic Valley of Zvánovice, in the autumn of 1919". This collection is preserved in PRM 710184 (Zvánovice, *Quercus*, 1919, leg. et det. J. V.) and indeed represents *Phellinus robustus* (P. KARST.) BOURD. et GALZ. = *Polyporus roburneus* FR. sensu QUÉL. *P. roburneus* FR. is according to DONK (1974:356) a nomen dubium and ambiguum, according to PILÁT (1936—42:510) probably *Phellinus igniarius* ssp. *trivialis* (FR.) PIL. In PRC and PRM 710183 there is still another collection (Radotín, *Salix*, XI. 1917, leg. et det. J. V.) which is not cited in České houby nor elsewhere, but this is identical with *Phellinus igniarius* var. *trivialis* (FR.) NIEMELÄ.

rubescens; *Polyporus rubescens* ALB. SCHW. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 658—9, 1922: "In the mines of Kladno on rotten oak beams, abundant (ŽOFLKA, 1916)". *Polyporus rubescens* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) KUMM. is a synonym of *Daedaleopsis confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) SCHROET. = *Trametes confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) RABENH. (see e.g. DONK 1974:50—51) but the material preserved in PRC and PRM 600473 (Ad ligna quercina in minis Kladno, 1916, leg. ŽOFLKA, det. J. V.) represents *Buglossoporus pulvinus* (PERS. ex PERS.) DONK = *Piptoporus quercinus* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) P. KARST. as was already recognized correctly by PILÁT (1936—42:124).

rubripes; *Polyporus rubripes* ROSTK. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 671, 1922: "On twigs in a wood near Mnichovice, 10. 1914". According to DONK (1974:357—8), *Polyporus rubripes* ROSTK. is a nomen dubium; in the interpretation of some authors it is *P. ciliatus* FR. ex FR. (or its form). This is exactly the case of VELENOVSKÝ's collection preserved in PRC and PRM 703783 (Mnichovice, 1914, leg. et det. J. V.): in each of the herbarium, there is one small stipitate carpophore with the pileus respectively 4 and 8 mm broad, very small ochraceous pores and a thin, only 3/4 mm wide and 9 mm long, fine velutinous, brown coloured stipe. According to my revision, it is a small *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR., but I do not exclude that it may be a good form as was believed by PILÁT 1936—42: 67—8].

rufescens; *Polyporus rufescens* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 682, 1922: "On the ground among grass at the base of old trunks in the forests near Mnichovice (9, 1914), rare". *Polyporus rufescens* (PERS. ex FR., according to DONK (1974:13), is synonymous with *Abortiporus biennis* (BULL. ex FR.) SING. = *Heteroporus biennis* (BULL. ex FR.) LÁZARO but the material preserved in PRM 703777 (Mnichovice, 1914, leg. et det. J. V.) represents in reality *Polyporus brumalis* (PERS.) ex FR. = *P. subarcularius* (DONK) BOND. with a very undulate margin of the pileus. PILÁT (1936—42:76 et 78—9) gives it incorrectly in the synonymy of *Polyporellus arcularius* var. *scabellus*; he also writes erroneously on p. 79 that *Polyporus rufescens* sensu VELENOVSKÝ is known only from the collection of Reisner in Praha-Košíře.

rugosa; *Daedalea rugosa* ALLESCH. VELENOVSKÝ, Mykologia, Praha, 3: 100, 1926: "On poplar stump in the Valley of Radotín in November, 1922 and 1925". *Daedalea rugosa* ALLESCH. is synonymous with *Rigidoporus ravidus* (FR.) POUZ. = *Trametes raviga* (FR.) PIL. (see e.g. DONK 1974:113) but the material preserved in herbaria PRC and PRM 703869 (Radotín, *Populus*, XI. 1922, leg. et det. J. V.) represents *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. = *Cerrena unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL. PILÁT (1936—42) does not cite this collection of VELENOVSKÝ but he wrote on the label in PRM 703869: "*Coriolus hirsutus* var. *fibula*"; this determination is incorrect.

rutilans; *Polyporus rutilans* PERS. This polypore was not published by VELENOVSKÝ but in PRM 485326 there is preserved a collection under this name (Třeboň. 1915, leg. NEŠPOR, det. J. V.) which actually is iden-

tical with *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. *Polyporus rutilans* (PERS.) ex FR., as generally known, is identical with *Hapalopilus rutilans* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Phaeolus rutilans* (PERS. ex FR.) SART. et MAIRE = *Hapalopilus nidulans* (FR.) P. KARST. of modern authors (see e.g. DONK 1974:79—80).

sepiaria; *Lenzites saepiaria* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 659, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On pine stumps and trunks, on pine beams, posts, fences, board all through the summer, during rains everywhere common"). All exsiccates found in PRC and PRM represent indeed *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* (WULF. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Lenzites sepiaria* (WULF. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Lenzites sepiaria* (WULF. ex FR.) FR.: 1) Chudenice near Klatovy, 16. VIII. 1884, leg. ČELAKOVSKÝ fil., det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Mnichovice, X. 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC); ibid., *Picea*, VIII. 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Jílové, 1914, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703760). 4) Mníšek, 1915, leg. FOUSTKOVÁ, det. J. V. (PRC). 5) Košíře, II. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 6) Hrusice, *Picea*, VIII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC).

salicinus; *Polyporus salicinus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 675, 1922: "On willow wood and trunks near Slivenec, in the autumn of 1917, collected by O. REISNER. On horse-chestnut near N. Bydžov (VINIKLÁŘ)". *Polyporus salicinus* FR. is usually considered to be synonymous with *Phellinus conchatus* (see e.g. PILÁT 1936—42:533), but according to DONK (1974:125), it belongs as a synonym to *P. ferruginosus* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) PAT. *Polyporus salicinus* FR. sensu VELENOVSKÝ comprises three species: only one collection among the six is identical with *Phellinus conchatus*, none of them with *P. ferruginosus*. In PRC and PRM, there are six collections from three localities under the name *Polyporus salicinus* (including the two collections mentioned in Čes. houby): 1) Mnichovice, on greengage trees, 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710179) — this is *Phellinus pomaceus* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) R. MAIRE. 2) Slivenec, *Salix*, X. 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. — the collection in PRC represents a young carpophore of *Phellinus igniarius* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL., whereas that in PRM 710181 is a young, resupinate *Phellinus conchatus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. 3) Nový Bydžov, *Aesculus*, leg. L. VINIKLÁŘ, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710180) — this is *Phellinus torulosus* (PERS. ex PERS.) BOURD. et GALZ.

sanguinolentus; *Polyporus sanguinolentus* A. S. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 641, 1922: "On rotten roots of willow, sallows and alders in a shadowy moist hollow in the bank of the forest brook above Hrusice (Mnichov.) in September 1921, in alder bogs near Hrusice (9, 1921) abundant". In PRC there is only one collection (Apud pagum Mnichovice, *Salix*, IX. 1921, leg. et det. J. V.), which is indeed identical with *Rigidoporus sanguinolentus* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) DONK = *Physisporinus sanguinolentus* (ALB. et SCHW. ex FR.) GILL. = *Polyporus sanguinolentus* (ALB. et SCHW.) ex FR.

scanicus; *Polyporus scanicus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 649, 1922: "On a willow stump near Slivenec, 9. 1916 (REISNER), on poplars in Stromovka (R)". *Polyporus scanicus* FR., according to the literature

(e.g. DONK 1974:28), it is identical with *Bjerkandera adusta* (WILLD. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus adustus* (WILLD. ex FR.) PIL. but the material preserved in PRC and PRM 485329 (Slivenec, *Salix?*, IX. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) represents *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. It was already correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42: 162).

schulzeri; *Daedalea Schulzeri* POETSCH. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 689—90, 1922: "On a poplar stump near Troja, in the winter of 1916—1918, collected by O. REISNER". According to the literature (DONK 1974:360), *Daedalea schulzeri* POETSCH is a nomen dubium; it is perhaps identical with *Trametes cervina* but all VELENOVSKÝ's material preserved under this name in PRC and PRM represents *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. It was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42: 162); see also DONK (1974:360). 1) Troja, II. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485328); ibid., 15. III. 1916, leg. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485322).

serialis; *Polyporus serialis* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 658, 1922: "Komářice (ŠIM.), Dobříš (ST.), Mnichovice, Řevnice (K), Golčův Jeníkov (K)". All collections preserved in PRC and PRM are indeed identical with *Trametes serialis* (FR.) FR. = *Coriolellus serialis* (FR.) MURRILL = *Polyporus serialis* FR.: 1) Komářice, *Picea* (codex), 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Mnichovice, *Pinus*, X. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710359). 3) Řevnice, 1915, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC). 4) Golčův Jeníkov, 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710354; the name of a collector is given on the labels wrong — it ought to be "leg. KAVINA").

sistotrema; *Polyporus Sistotrema* ALB. SCHW. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 682, 1922: "In the autumn of 1913, at Stražkovice near Budějovice, collected by ŠIMEK. On the ground among rubbish and needles near Řevnice in August, 1916 (KAV.). On Kožený vrch [a hill] near Mnichov. among roten leaves and rubbish it covers... the whole surroundings... Also near Ondřejov, in the Brdy Mts. and in the Šumava Mts., Rychmburk (ZV.)". All collections preserved in PRC and PRM are indeed identical with *Phaeolus schweinitzii* (FR.) PAT. = *Boletus sistotremoides* ALB. et SCHW.: 1) Stražkovice [the correct spelling is "Stražkovice"!] near Čes. Budějovice, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Řevnice, in spruce forest, VIII. 1916, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710185). 3) Rakovník, VIII. 1919, leg. F. FECHTNER, det. J. V., as *P. herbergii* (PRC, PRM 710186).

spumeus; *Polyporus spumeus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 648, 1922: "Always on elm, in Kinský's Garden at Smíchov in the winter of 1918 (R)". The collections preserved in PRC and PRM 486084 (Praha, Kinský's Garden, *Ulmus*, III. 1918, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) represent indeed typically developed *Spongipellis spumeus* (SOW. ex FR.) PAT. = *Leptoporus spumeus* (SOW. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus spumeus* (SOW.) ex FR.

squamulosus; *Polyporus squamosus* HUDS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 664—5, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On trunks of deciduous

trees in summer everywhere abundant and fast-growing"). No material has been found in the herbaria but according to the description it is undoubtedly *Polyporus squamosus* (HUDS.) ex FR. = *Polyporellus squamosus* (HUDS. ex FR.) P. KARST.

stipticus; *Polyporus stipiticus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 644, 1922: "I known it so far only from old false acacia trunks in the vicinity of Prague (1910—1918). FRIES gives it from pines in Sweden; I do not know, therefore, whether it is identical with ours". VELENOVSKÝ's supposition that FRIES' polypore is something else was correct. *Polyporus stipiticus* (PERS.) ex FR. is called now *Tyromyces stipiticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus stipiticus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL., or *Tyromyces albidus* (SCHAEFF. ex TROG. in FR.) DONK, whereas VELENOVSKÝ's material preserved in PRC and PRM 186712 (Stromovka, *Robinia*, XI. 1917, leg. et det. J. V.) actually represents *Laetiporus sulphureus* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL = *Grifola sulphurea* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL., as was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:41).

striatus; *Polyporus striatus* (without author's name). This species was not published and the material preserved in PRC and PRM 703818 from Fürstling near Modrá [=now Březník near Modrava], Šumava Mts., VII. 1921, leg. et det. J. V., represents *Polyporus varius* (PERS..) ex FR. = *Polyporellus varius* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. Probably this collection is listed among the localities of this species by PILÁT (1936—42:107). According to DONK (1974:367), *Polyporus striatus* (HUMB. ex STEUD.) PERS. is a nomen dubium.

subfuscoclavidus; *Polyporus subfuscoclavidus* ROSTK. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 639, 1922: "Chudenice (ČEL. 1884), on spruce beams at Smíchov (R. 1917)". *Polyporus subfuscoclavidus* ROSTK. is a nomen dubium (DONK 1974:369) and was differently interpreted by various authors. The material preserved in PRC and PRM 710351 (Smíchov), *Picea*, 1917, leg. REISNER, det. J. V.) is identical with the resupinate form of *Trametes serialis* (FR.) FR. = *Coriolellus serialis* (FR.) MURRILL, and is often called *Poria callosa* (FR.) COOKE. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this collection from VELENOVSKÝ's herbarium.

suaveolens; *Polyporus suaveolens* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 658, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On willow trunks characteristic and everywhere abundant..."). No material has been found in the herbaria, but according to the description, it is beyond doubt indeed *Trametes suaveolens* (FR.) FR. = *Polyporus suaveolens* FR.

sulphureus; *Polyporus sulphureus* BULL. The material is preserved under this name only in the herbaria but was published as *Polyporus caudicinus* (see *caudicinus*).

triqueter; *Polyporus triqueter* ALB. et SCHW. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 674—5, 1922: "Near Třeboň in 1915 collected by WEINZETT[E]L". In PRC and PRM there are collections from two localities under this name which represents two different species: 1) Třeboň, *Picea* (according to the xylotomical revision of Dr. E. OPRAVIL at Opava, the substrate

is *Pinus sylvestris*), IX. 1915, leg. WEINZETTEL, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 688753) — this is *Onnia triqueter* (FR.) IMAZ. in ITO sensu ČERNÝ = *Polyporus triqueter* (PERS.) ex PERS. sensu ALB. et SCHW. having tough trama and big, hooked setae. 2) Kubice near Domažlice, *Picea*, VIII. 1921, leg. V. POKORNÝ, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710210) — this is a typically developed *Phellinus chrysoloma* (FR.) DONK = *P. abietis* P. KARST.) PIL. PILÁT (1936—42: 583 and 585) listed the collection from Třeboň as *Polystictus tomentosus* f. *circinatus* (FR.) PIL. and that from Kubice correctly among the localities of *Phellinus pini* var. *abietis* (P. KARST.) PIL. = *P. chrysoloma* (FR.) DONK (PILÁT 1936—42:520).

ulmarius; *Polyporus ulmarius* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 661—2, 1922: "On elms near Žehušice in 1919 collected by teacher R. MAXIMOVIC. *Polyporus ulmarius* (SOW.) ex FR. is an extremely rare species in Central Europe treated in modern mycology as *Rigidoporus ulmarius* (SOW. ex FR.) IMAZ. in ITO = *Fomes ulmarius* (SOW. ex FR.) GILL. However, the material preserved in PRC and PRM 710207 (Žehušice, *Ulmus*, 1919, leg. MAXIMOVIC, det. J. V.) represents the typically developed carpophores of *Rigidoporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) POUZ. = *Oxyporus populinus* (SCHUM. ex FR.) DONK as already correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:341—2).

unicolor; *Daedalea unicolor* BULL. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 692, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On trunks of deciduous trees... everywhere abundant..."). The collections from the 11 localities are indeed identical with *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.: 1) Stromovka, *Tilia*, XI. 1907, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Jevany, 1913, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 3) Roblín, *Carpinus* (codex), V. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710329); ibid., III. 1923, leg. PILÁT, det. J. V. (PRM 710326). 4) Sv. Jan (= Sv. Jan pod Skalou], 17. X. 1914, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC). 5) Kbelnice near Přeštice, V. 1915, leg. HEDRYCH, det. J. V. (PRC). 6) Řevnice, IX. 1915, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC); ibid., 1916, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V. (PRC). 7) Radotín, *Salix*, X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 8) Struhařov, *Acer* (codex), IV. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 9) Hvězda, VI. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 19) Stromovka, *Carpinus*, 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710327). 11) Solopisky, XII. 1926, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC — collection for demonstration).

vaillantii; *Polyporus Vaillantii* DC. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 637, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On rotten stumps and wood, especially pine wood, rather abundant"). *Polyporus vaillantii* (DC) ex FR. is a good species treated in modern mycology as *Fibroporia vaillantii* (DC ex FR.) PARM. = *Poria vaillantii* (DC. ex FR.) COOKE, but no collection of VELENOVSKÝ is identical with it. 1) Mnichovice, *Picea*, VIII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 36863) — this is *Tyromyces resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) BOND. et SING. = *Leptoporus resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) PIL. with spores shortly ellipsoid, slightly thick-walled, 4.4—5.3 × 2.3—2.6 µm. 2) Jirny, *Pinus*, X. 1921, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 668115) — this is *Cristella mollusca* (PERS. ex FR.) DONK = *Poria candidissima* (SCHW.) COOKE with small, verrucose spores. PILÁT (1936—42:390) cites only the last collection.

vaporarius; *Polyporus vaporarius* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 637, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On wood of different kind... everywhere very abundant"). In PRC and PRM, there are three different collections but none is identical with *Coriolellus sinuosus* (FR.) SARKAR = *Poria sinuosa* (FR.) COOKE with which many authors (e.g. PILÁT 1936—42:438) identify *Polyporus vaporarius* (PERS.) ex FR. This name is differently interpreted and according to DONK (1974:377) as well as according to other authors it is a nomen dubium. The polypores in VELENOVSKÝ's herbarium under the name *Polyporus vaporarius* represent in fact three species: 1) Třeboň, VIII, 1915, leg. WEINZETTEL, det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 485430) — this is a semiresupinate carpophore of *Skeletocutis amorphula* (FR. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Gloeoporus amorphus* (FR. ex FR.) KILLERM. 2) Mnichovice, *Picea*, VII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 36847) — this is a *Tyromyces resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) BOND. et SING. = *Leptoporus resupinatus* (BOURD. et GALZ.) PIL. The identity of this and the preceding collection was already correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:154 et 195). 3) Třeboň, ad ligna *Piceae*, leg. NEŠPOR (PRM 703822) — this is *Poria lindbladii* (BERK.) COOKE = *P. cinerascens* (BRES. in STRASS.) SACC. et SYD. with slightly amyloid, thick-walled skeletal hyphae dissolving in a KOH solution. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this last collection.

variegata; *Lenzites variegata* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 695, 1922: "Mnichovice, Jevany, Karlštejn, Třeboň (W), Řevnice (K), Černosice (K), Chlumec n. C. (R), Radotín". In PRC, there are two collections under the above name, both identical with *Lenzites betulina* (L. ex FR.) FR. = *Trametes betulina* (L. ex FR.) PIL., a synonym of which *Lenzites variegata* (FR. ex FR.) FR. is: 1) Jevany, *Fagus sylv.* codices), XI. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. 2) Třeboň, IX. 1915, leg. WEINZETT[E]L, det. J. V. Some authors, e.g. PILÁT (1936—42:328), consider *Lenzites variegata* as a form of *Lenzites betulina* which may be correct (see also KOTLABA 1965:80).

varius; *Polyporus varius* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 666—7, 1922: "Often on false acacia (Mnichov., Strašnice, Třeboň), on beech (Jevany, Žel. Hory Mts., Dourovské hory Mts., Šumava Mts.)". In PRC and PRM there are collections from four localities, comprising three species (I do not exclude the possibility that some of the collections were mixed): 1) Mnichovice, 1918, leg. et det. J. V. — the collection in PRC actually represents *Polyporus melanopus* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Polyphorellus melanopus* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST., whereas that in PRM 163592 is indeed identical with *P. varius* (PERS.) ex FR. = *Polyphorellus varius* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST.; ibid., 1923, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 163595) — this, however, is *Polyporus badius* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) SCHW. = *P. picipes* FR. 2) Jevany, *Fagus*, IX. 1922, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 703819) — this is indeed *Polyporus varius* (PERS.) ex FR. 3) Radotín, *Salix*, 1923, leg. et det. J. V. (PRM 163593). 4) St. Prokop Valley near Prague, *Salix* (in a hollow), 10. IX. 1924, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. (PRM 163616). The last two collections (3 and 4) are identical with *Polyporus badius* (PERS. ex S. F. GRAY) SCHW. = *P. picipes* FR. PILÁT (1936—42) correctly recognized the identity of the specimens in all collections.

vegetus; *Polyporus vegetus* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 685, 1922: "On an elm in Stromovka in the winter of 1916, collected by Dr. KAVINA. On an elm in Chuchle in the winter of 1918". *Polyporus vegetus* FR. is variously interpreted being usually synonymized with *Ganoderma applanatum* (see DONK 1974:378). However, the collection preserved in PRM 710197 (Stromovka, *Ulmus*, XII. 1916, leg. KAVINA, det. J. V.) represents *Phellinus torulosus* (PERS. ex PERS.) BOURD. et GALZ. as was already correctly recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:501—2); see also DONK (1974: 378) and KOTLABA (1975:18).

velutinus; *Polyporus velutinus* PERS. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 653, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On stumps and trunks of deciduous trees, more rare, in summer and autumn"). In PRC and PRM there are collections from three different localities belonging to two species: 1) Mnichovice, 1914, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC) — this is indeed *Trametes pubescens* (SCHUM. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus pubescens* (SCHUM. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus velutinus* FR. 2) Třeboň, ad ligna *quercina*, 1913, leg. NEŠPOR, det. J. V. (PRM 710342). 3) Kutná Hora, I. 1916, leg. HEJNÝ, det. J. V. (PRC). The two last collections represent the common *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* L. ex FR.) QUÉL. PILÁT (1936—42:268—9) erroneously identified all above mentioned collections as *Trametes pubescens*.

versicolor; *Polyporus versicolor* L. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 652, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On stumps and trunks of deciduous trees everywhere very common, especially in autumn"). In PRC there are collections from five localities, all being indeed identical with *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus versicolor* (L.) ex FR.: 1) Mnichovice, *Carpinus*, XI. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. 2) Kutná Hora, VIII. 1915, leg. HEJNÝ, det. J. V. 3) Karlštejn, 14. X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. 4) Chuchle, *Quercus*, IV. 1916, leg. et det. J. V. 5) Jevany, *Fagus*, VII. 1916, leg. et det. J. V.

vulgaris; *Polyporus vulgaris* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 638, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On rotten wood, stumps, in hollows between roots, also on the ground, everywhere common all the year round"). According to DONK (1974:156), *Polyporus vulgaris* FR. (nomen ambiguum) is identical with *Amyloporia lenis* (P. KARST.) BOND et SING. = *Poria lenis* (P. KARST.) SACC. but none of the six exsiccates from two different localities belong to it. PILÁT (1936—42: 458) puts *Polyporus vulgaris* FR. sensu VELENOVSKÝ into synonymy with *Poria versipora* (PERS.) LLOYD = *Schizopora paradoxa* (SCHRAD. ex FR.) DONK. but none of the exsiccates left is identical with it: 1) Mnichovice, *Picea*, IX. 1914, leg. et det. J. V. ("vulgaris" is crossed out and replaced by "vaporarius) (PRC, PRM 492085) — this is a very poor material of *Poria* sp. (dimitic hyphal system, spores somewhat bigger and more thick-walled than in *Schizopora paradoxa*); PILÁT determined the duplicate collection in PRM erroneously as *Trametes albida* (FR. ex FR.) BRES. in KILLERM. 2) Třeboň, VII. 1915, leg. WEINZETTEL, det. J. V. — PRC contains a very bad material which is difficult to determine; perhaps it is *Oligoporus rennyi* (BERK. et BR.) DONK. = *Leptoporus sericeo-mollis* (ROMELL)

PIL.; in PRM 703837 there is preserved under the name *Polyporus vulgaris*, in reality resupinate specimens of *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL.; in PRM 703827 and 703828, it is a *Poria* sp. (in PRM 703828 and 703837 VIII. 1915 is written instead of VII. 1915, but it may be only a "lapsus calami").

weinmannii; *Polyporus Weinmannii* FR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 647, 1922: "On pine trunks and stumps, very rare. Ostrolov. Újezd (ŠIMEK, 1913)". This collection (*Pinus*, Ostrolov. Újezd, 1913, leg. ŠIMEK, det. J. V.) is preserved in PRM 30301 (PILÁT 1936—42:130 gives erroneously 20293) and evidently represents the leptoporoid state of *Ischnoderma benzoinum* (WAHLENB.) P. KARST. (as the substrate is a coniferous tree). In PRC and PRM 375550 there is another collection named *Polyporus weinmannii* (*Pinus*, Plzeň, X. 1922, leg. V. POKORNÝ, det. J. V.) which, however, is indeed identical with *Tyromyces fragilis* (FR.) DONK = *Leptoporus fragilis* (FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus weinmannii* FR. having a typically developed carpophore with a narrow cylindric, allantoid spores, 4—5 × 1.8—2.0 µm. PILÁT (1936—42) correctly recognized both collections.

wynnei; *Polyporus wynnei* BERK. et BR. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 654, 1922: "On old fallen twigs of deciduous trees in forests, rare. Mnichovice (8, 1918)" (in the herbaria as well as in VELENOVSKÝ's manuscript, there is written VIII. 1915 — thus 8, 1918 in České houby is evidently an "error typographicus"). In PRC and PRM 703863, the collection from Mnichovice (VIII. 1915, leg. et det. J. V.) represents yellow rusty coloured carpophores of the common *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL., not *Trametes hirsuta* (WULF. ex FR.) PIL. f. *fibula* (FR.) PIL. as was believed by PILÁT (1936—42:266). Among the material in PRC, there is a piece of a twig with a slip of paper containing the note: "Karlštejn, VII. 1915"; the polypore on the twig is *Tyromyces semisipinus* (BERK. et CURT. in BERK.) MURRILL = *Leptoporus semisipinus* (BERK. et CURT. in BERK.) PIL.; I put it into a small separate cover which is inside a cover labelled *Polyporus wynnei*. *P. wynnei* BERK. et BR., which is classified in modern mycology as *Tyromyces wynnei* (BERK. et BR.) DONK = *Leptoporus wynnei* (BERK. et BR.) BOURD., is quite another species, everywhere very rare.

zonata; *Daedalea zonata* SCHWEIN. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 692, 1922: "On rotten trunk near Kutná Hora in January 1916, collected by Mr. HEJNÝ". The collections preserved in PRC and PRM 485327 represent quite typically developed parts of carpophores of *Bjerkandera fumosa* (PERS. ex FR.) P. KARST. = *Gloeoporus fumosus* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. as was already recognized by PILÁT (1936—42:162). *Daedalea zonata* SCHW., according to some authors (see DONK 1974:383), is synonymous with *Daedaleopsis confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) SCHROET. = *Trametes confragosa* (BOLT. ex FR.) RABENH.

zonatus; *Polyporus zonatus* NEES. VELENOVSKÝ, Čes. houby 4—5: 653, 1922. No definite locality is given ("On stumps of deciduous trees everywhere rather abundant, chiefly in autumn"). In PRC and PRM there

are collections from three different localities, all of which are indeed identical with *Trametes zonatella* RYV. = *T. zonata* (NEES ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus zonatus* (NEES ex FR.) QUÉL. = *Polyporus zonatus* (NEES ex FR.: 1) Chlumec n. C., leg. et det. J. V. (PRC). 2) Karlštejn, *Quercus*, X. 1915, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710340). 3) Mnichovice, 1919, leg. et det. J. V. (PRC, PRM 710338 — the carpophore in PRM was destroyed by insects). From this last locality, it is further preserved under the name *Polyporus zonatus* in PRM 703806 (Mnichovice, 1919, leg. et det. J. V.) one small carpophore representing *Polyporus ciliatus* FR. ex FR. = *Poly-porellus brumalis* auct.; I do not exclude a mistake, which probably was caused by arranging the herbarium material.

Revising VELENOVSKÝ's polypore material in PRC and PRM, I found three collections in PRM, which were unidentified by VELENOVSKÝ but were originally labelled as *Polyporus* sp. (unpublished by VELENOVSKÝ):

1) Eisenstein [=Železná Ruda], Šumava Mts., VII. 1914, leg. HEJNÝ (PRM 33565). This is a small, young carpophore of *Tyromyces stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL. as was correctly determined and published by PILÁT (1936—42: 203).

2) Jíloviště, *Picea*, VIII. 1916, leg. J. V. (PRM 33577). These are two young small carpophores of *Tyromyces stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) KOTL. et POUZ. = *Leptoporus stipticus* (PERS. ex FR.) QUÉL., also already correctly determined and published by PILÁT (1936—42:203).

3) Hrusice, *Picea*, VIII. 1916, leg. J. V. (PRM 703866). This is a small, thin carpophore of *Trametes versicolor* (L. ex FR.) PIL. = *Coriolus versicolor* (L. ex FR.) QUÉL. PILÁT (1936—42) does not mention this collection.

Finally, I should like to remark that one of VELENOVSKÝ's collectors and friends, Director O. REISNER, in 1926 published *Daedalea vermicularis* PERS. as a new polypore for Bohemia: "On old spruce wood in a timber yard at Smíchov, in November 1922" (REISNER 1926). The material is preserved in PRC and PRM (Smíchov, XI. 1922, leg. O. REISNER, det. J. V. — in PRM correctly determined by H. BOURDOT as *Coriolus abietinus*); it represents resupinate *Hirschioporus abietinus* (PERS. ex FR.) DONK = *Trametes abietina* (PERS. ex FR.) PIL. as was already published by PILÁT (1936—42 — only in the index), who described it erroneously to VELENOVSKÝ. According to DONK (1974:354 et 379), *Daedalea vermicularia* PERS. is synonymous with *Boletus resupinatus* SOW., which is a nomen dubium; FRIES 1830 referred it to *Daedalea latissima* (FR.) ex FR., which is synonymous with *Trametes unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) PIL. = *Cerrena unicolor* (BULL. ex FR.) MURRILL, but SOWERBY's fungus probably represents another species.

REF E R E N C E S

- ČERNÝ A. (1974): Bionomie choroše *Onnia circinata* (Fr.) P. Karst., jeho hospodářský význam a rozšíření v Československu. Lesnictví, Praha, **20**, (47), 203—218.
- DONK, M. A. (1974): Check list of European polypores. Verh. koninkl. nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Natuurk., Amsterdam, **62**, 1—469.
- KOTLABA, F. (1965): *Lenzites betulina* (L. ex Fr.) Fr. — Luppeník březový. Čes. Mykol., Praha, **19**, 79—82.
- KOTLABA F. (1971): Geographical distribution and ecology of the polypore *Phellinus torulosus* (Pers. ex Pers.) Bourd. et Galz. with special regard to Czechoslovakia. Čes. Mykol., Praha, **29**, 5—24, tab. 1—2.
- KOTLABA, F. (1977): Revision of the polypores (Polypores) described as new by J. Velenovský. Sborn. Nár. Muzea Praha, B, **31** (1975), 1—56.
- KOTLABA, F., POUZAR, Z. (1966): Co je *Polyporus acanthoides* Bull. ve smyslu Velenovského a poznámky k systematickému postavení *Polyporus croceus* (Pers.) ex Fr. Čes. Mykol., Praha, **20**, 97—104, tab. 11—12.
- NIEMELÄ, T. (1975): On Fennoscandian polypores. IV. *Phellinus igniarius*, *P. nigricans* and *P. populincola*, n. sp. Ann. Bot. Fennici, Helsinki, **12**, 93—122.
- PILÁT, A. (1936—1942): Polyporaceae — Houby chorošovité. Alas hub evrop., Praha, **3**, 1—624, tab. 1—374.
- POUZAR, Z. (1966): Studies in the taxonomy of the polypores II. Folia geobot. phytotax., Praha, **1**, 356—375.
- REISNER, O. (1926): Zajímavé novinky české III. Mykologia, Praha, **3**, 11.
- VELENOVSKÝ, J. (1922): České houby 4—5 (II.). Praha, p. 633—950.